

Asian ideas of East and west: Tagore and His Critics

Dr Anjana Vashishtha Rawat

*Professor, Department Of English,
K. A. PG College Kasganj*

Abstract

Rabindranath Tagore was a multifaceted figure in history, known primarily as a poet but encompassing various roles throughout his life. His legacy is marked by his rejection of the labels of philosopher or scholar, his brief stint as a political leader, and his extensive lectures on the future of his nation and the world. Beyond his poetry, he made significant contributions to the fields of alternative education and rural reconstruction, offering an alternative societal path. This essay posits that Tagore can be best understood as a critic of the European Enlightenment, akin to Herder, and emphasizes that he aimed to convey complex truths without oversimplification. Despite this, his nuanced approach may have made him less listened to during his time. In today's world, on the brink of ecological and social crises, there's a call to revisit Tagore's ideas and insights.

I. Introduction

Rabindranath Tagore, a renowned Indian poet, philosopher, and Nobel laureate, had a unique perspective on the concepts of East and West. His views on the East and West were deeply influenced by his cultural and intellectual background, and he expressed these ideas in his works, especially in his essays and lectures. Tagore's thoughts on this subject have garnered both appreciation and criticism from various quarters. Rabindranath Tagore's ideas on the East and West continue to be the subject of scholarly debate and discussion. While some admire his vision of cultural synthesis and universalism, others find fault with aspects of his idealism or the way he represented the East. It's important to understand that Tagore's views were shaped by the context of his time and his personal experiences, and they should be considered in that light.

Tagore's Perspective on East and West:

Cultural Synthesis:

Tagore advocated for a harmonious synthesis of Eastern and Western cultural elements. He believed that cultures should not remain in isolation and should learn from each other to create a more enriched and balanced world. Tagore's views on cultural synthesis continue to be influential and relevant today, as they encourage a more inclusive and open-minded approach to the meeting of different cultures in an increasingly globalized world. His ideas resonate with those who believe in the power of cultural exchange and dialogue to promote understanding and unity among people of diverse backgrounds. Rabindranath Tagore was a strong advocate of cultural synthesis, which he saw as a means to create a more enriched and balanced world. His perspective on this issue was deeply rooted in his belief in the universality of human culture and the idea that different cultures could learn from each other to achieve a greater understanding and harmony. Here are some key aspects of Tagore's view on cultural synthesis:

1. **Cultural Exchange:** Tagore believed that cultures should engage in a process of mutual exchange and learning. He saw the East and the West as having unique strengths and weaknesses, and he felt that they could complement each other through dialogue and interaction.
2. **Universal Human Values:** Tagore emphasized the existence of universal human values and ideals that transcended cultural boundaries. He believed that by recognizing these common values, people from different cultures could come together and work for the betterment of humanity.
3. **Harmony and Balance:** Tagore's vision of cultural synthesis aimed to strike a balance between tradition and modernity, spirituality and materialism, and individualism and community. He felt that a harmonious blending of these elements was essential for the progress of society.
4. **Cultural Appreciation:** Tagore encouraged people to appreciate and respect the cultural heritage of others. He believed that an open-minded approach to different cultures could help break down stereotypes and prejudices.
5. **Literary and Artistic Exchange:** Tagore's own work, including his poetry and plays, often incorporated elements from both Eastern and Western literary and artistic traditions. This fusion of cultural elements can be seen as a practical manifestation of his ideas on cultural synthesis.

6. Education: Tagore's educational philosophy, as reflected in his establishment of Visva-Bharati University, promoted the idea of cross-cultural education. He encouraged students to study and appreciate the world's diverse cultures to become more well-rounded individuals.

Spiritualism and Materialism:

Tagore often contrasted the spiritual and material aspects of the East and West. He felt that the East had a spiritual richness and depth that the West lacked, and the West excelled in material progress. He believed that a harmonious blend of the two would lead to global progress and peace. Rabindranath Tagore's contrast between spiritualism and materialism in the East and West was a central theme in his discussions about the cultural and philosophical differences between these two parts of the world. It's important to note that Tagore's views on this subject were not meant to simplify or stereotype either the East or the West. Instead, he aimed to promote a dialogue and exchange of ideas between cultures, recognizing the strengths and potential pitfalls of each. His vision for a harmonious blend of spiritualism and materialism has continued to inspire discussions on the importance of achieving balance in our rapidly changing world. He believed that each had its own strengths and weaknesses and that a harmonious blend of these contrasting elements could lead to global progress and peace. Here's a more detailed look at Tagore's views on this matter:

1. **Spiritual Richness of the East:** Tagore believed that the Eastern cultures, particularly Indian and other Asian traditions, had a rich spiritual heritage. He saw the East as a repository of ancient wisdom, mysticism, and deep philosophical thought. Eastern spiritual traditions, such as Hinduism, Buddhism, and Sufism, emphasized the inner self, meditation, and a sense of interconnectedness with the universe.
2. **Material Progress of the West:** On the other hand, Tagore acknowledged the West's remarkable achievements in material progress and technological advancements. He saw the West as a region that excelled in science, industry, and economic development. The Western mindset was often characterized by rationality, empiricism, and a focus on the material world.
3. **Harmonious Blend:** Tagore's vision was to bring together the spiritual depth of the East and the material progress of the West. He believed that this synthesis would create a more balanced and harmonious world. It would combine the values of inner reflection, compassion, and holistic understanding with the practical, scientific, and innovative aspects of the Western mindset.
4. **Global Progress and Peace:** Tagore's belief in this harmonious blend was rooted in the idea that such a synthesis could lead to global progress and peace. By combining the spiritual and material dimensions, he hoped to foster a more holistic, humane, and sustainable approach to societal and global challenges.
5. **Critique of Imbalance:** Tagore was critical of the extremes he saw in both the East and West. He argued that the West's relentless pursuit of materialism without a corresponding spiritual and ethical foundation led to environmental degradation and moral crises. In contrast, the East's excessive focus on spirituality, at times, hindered social and material progress.

Universalism:

Tagore emphasized the idea of universalism and the importance of transcending narrow national or cultural boundaries. He believed that human values and ideals should be the common heritage of all humanity, regardless of their origin. Rabindranath Tagore was a strong advocate of universalism, a philosophical and ethical perspective that emphasizes the idea of transcending narrow national, cultural, or regional boundaries in favor of a shared humanity. Tagore's universalism was a significant part of his philosophy and is reflected in his literary works, essays, and speeches. He was a proponent of intercultural dialogue and understanding, and his ideas continue to be relevant in today's interconnected world, where the recognition of shared human values and the importance of transcending cultural and national boundaries are critical for global cooperation and harmony. Tagore believed that human values and ideals should be the common heritage of all humanity, regardless of their origin. Here are the key aspects of Tagore's emphasis on universalism:

1. **Shared Humanity:** Tagore believed that all human beings share a common humanity, regardless of their cultural, religious, or national differences. He argued that it is essential to recognize our shared humanity as a basis for understanding and cooperation.
2. **Universal Values:** Tagore thought that there are certain values and ideals, such as love, compassion, justice, and freedom, which are universal and should be upheld by people from all walks of life, irrespective of their backgrounds.
3. **Cultural Exchange:** Tagore believed that the exchange of ideas and cultural elements between different regions and nations was crucial for the enrichment of human civilization. He saw cultural exchange as a means to promote mutual understanding and respect.
4. **World Citizenship:** Tagore promoted the concept of "world citizenship," encouraging individuals to think of themselves as citizens of the world rather than being limited to their national identities. He saw this as a way to foster a sense of responsibility towards the global community.

5. **Transcending Nationalism:** Tagore was critical of narrow forms of nationalism, which he saw as divisive and potentially destructive. He believed that an excessive focus on national identity could lead to conflicts and misunderstandings.

6. **Global Peace:** Tagore's universalist ideals were also linked to his vision of global peace. He believed that by recognizing the universal values that bind humanity, people could work together to achieve lasting peace and harmony in the world.

Critique of Imperialism:

Tagore was a vocal critic of Western imperialism and its impact on the East, especially in the context of British colonialism in India. He wrote and spoke against the cultural and economic exploitation that came with imperialism. Rabindranath Tagore was indeed a vocal critic of Western imperialism, particularly in the context of British colonialism in India. His critique of imperialism stemmed from his deep concern for the cultural and economic exploitation that came with it. Tagore's critique of imperialism is significant in the context of his time and the broader anti-colonial movements of the early 20th century. His writings and speeches against imperialism continue to be studied and respected for their insights into the impact of colonial rule on culture, identity, and socioeconomic conditions. They also reflect his commitment to justice, equality, and the need for a more harmonious and interconnected world. Here are some key points regarding Tagore's critique of imperialism:

1. **Cultural Exploitation:** Tagore was critical of how imperialism often resulted in the cultural subjugation of colonized people. He believed that imperial powers, such as the British in India, not only imposed their political and economic dominance but also sought to undermine and suppress the cultural heritage and traditions of the colonized societies. This included the imposition of Western education, languages, and cultural norms at the expense of indigenous cultures.

2. **Economic Exploitation:** Tagore also condemned the economic exploitation that accompanied imperialism. He highlighted how colonial powers extracted wealth and resources from their colonies, often at the expense of the local population's well-being. This economic exploitation contributed to poverty and underdevelopment in the colonized regions.

3. **Impact on Identity:** Tagore was concerned that imperialism had a detrimental effect on the self-identity and self-esteem of colonized peoples. He saw how colonial rule could erode the sense of cultural pride and national identity, leading to feelings of inferiority among the colonized population.

4. **Political Oppression:** Tagore critiqued the political oppression that often accompanied imperialism. He was an advocate for the self-determination of nations and believed that the right of people to govern themselves should be respected.

5. **Resistance and Nationalism:** While Tagore was critical of British imperialism, he was not a supporter of narrow or aggressive forms of nationalism as a response. He believed that resistance to imperialism should be grounded in non-violence, moral strength, and the preservation of cultural and spiritual values.

6. **Internationalism:** Tagore's critique of imperialism was aligned with his universalist perspective. He believed in the importance of transcending national boundaries and fostering international cooperation to address global issues, rather than perpetuating the imperialist domination of one nation over another.

Critics of Tagore's Ideas:

Orientalism Critique:

Some critics argue that Tagore's views on the East and West are rooted in Orientalism, a Eurocentric perspective that romanticizes and simplifies the East. They claim that Tagore's idealized view of the East may not represent the diverse realities of Asian cultures. Rabindranath Tagore was indeed a vocal critic of Western imperialism, particularly in the context of British colonialism in India. His critique of imperialism stemmed from his deep concern for the cultural and economic exploitation that came with it. Tagore's critique of imperialism is significant in the context of his time and the broader anti-colonial movements of the early 20th century. His writings and speeches against imperialism continue to be studied and respected for their insights into the impact of colonial rule on culture, identity, and socioeconomic conditions. They also reflect his commitment to justice, equality, and the need for a more harmonious and interconnected world. Here are some key points regarding Tagore's critique of imperialism:

1. **Cultural Exploitation:** Tagore was critical of how imperialism often resulted in the cultural subjugation of colonized people. He believed that imperial powers, such as the British in India, not only imposed their political and economic dominance but also sought to undermine and suppress the cultural heritage and traditions of the colonized societies. This included the imposition of Western education, languages, and cultural norms at the expense of indigenous cultures.

2. **Economic Exploitation:** Tagore also condemned the economic exploitation that accompanied imperialism. He highlighted how colonial powers extracted wealth and resources from their colonies, often at

the expense of the local population's well-being. This economic exploitation contributed to poverty and underdevelopment in the colonized regions.

3. **Impact on Identity:** Tagore was concerned that imperialism had a detrimental effect on the self-identity and self-esteem of colonized peoples. He saw how colonial rule could erode the sense of cultural pride and national identity, leading to feelings of inferiority among the colonized population.

4. **Political Oppression:** Tagore critiqued the political oppression that often accompanied imperialism. He was an advocate for the self-determination of nations and believed that the right of people to govern themselves should be respected.

5. **Resistance and Nationalism:** While Tagore was critical of British imperialism, he was not a supporter of narrow or aggressive forms of nationalism as a response. He believed that resistance to imperialism should be grounded in non-violence, moral strength, and the preservation of cultural and spiritual values.

6. **Internationalism:** Tagore's critique of imperialism was aligned with his universalist perspective. He believed in the importance of transcending national boundaries and fostering international cooperation to address global issues, rather than perpetuating the imperialist domination of one nation over another.

Idealism vs. Reality:

Others criticize Tagore for being overly idealistic. They argue that his vision of a harmonious blending of cultures may be impractical and ignores the complex power dynamics and conflicts between the East and West. Critics who argue that Tagore's views were overly idealistic have valid concerns, as his vision of a harmonious blending of cultures did emphasize ideals that might not be easily achievable due to complex power dynamics and conflicts between the East and West. It's important to note that while Tagore's ideas were undoubtedly idealistic, they were a response to the pressing issues of his time, including British colonialism and growing global interconnectivity. His ideals emphasized the importance of dialogue, understanding, and cooperation in the face of cultural and political divides. While critics may argue that his vision was impractical, it remains a source of inspiration for those who believe in the potential for mutual respect, cultural exchange, and peace in an interconnected world. Balancing idealism with pragmatism and addressing complex global dynamics is a challenge that continues to be relevant in international relations and cultural interactions. Here are some key points regarding this critique:

1. **Practical Challenges:** Critics point out that Tagore's vision might not have adequately addressed the practical challenges of cultural synthesis and global harmony. Achieving a harmonious blend of cultures would require overcoming numerous barriers, including political conflicts, economic disparities, and historical grievances.

2. **Power Imbalance:** Tagore's vision may not have sufficiently accounted for the significant power imbalances between the East and West during his time and today. The dominance of Western powers, both politically and economically, posed challenges to a more equal and harmonious exchange of cultures.

3. **Cultural Resistance:** Critics argue that cultures can be resistant to change and adaptation, particularly when confronted with foreign influences. The complexities of preserving cultural identities while embracing outside ideas and practices can be formidable.

4. **Historical Context:** Tagore's ideas developed in the early 20th century, a time when colonialism was still a major global force. Critics suggest that his idealism might not have fully acknowledged the deep-seated historical injustices and exploitation that shaped the East-West relationship.

5. **Conflict and Power Politics:** International relations involve complex power politics, conflicts of interest, and geopolitical rivalries. Tagore's vision of a harmonious world might have overlooked these harsh political realities.

6. **Cultural Authenticity:** Critics also argue that Tagore's idealism could potentially undermine the authenticity of cultures. They contend that cultures should be allowed to evolve and develop on their own terms, rather than being compelled to blend in ways that might dilute their uniqueness.

Political Controversy:

Tagore's stance on nationalism and his refusal to support nationalist movements in India, particularly during the Indian independence struggle, led to criticism from some quarters. They viewed his position as out of touch with the political realities of his time. Rabindranath Tagore's stance on nationalism and his refusal to support nationalist movements in India during the Indian independence struggle did indeed generate controversy and criticism, particularly from some nationalist quarters. It's important to recognize that Tagore's critique of nationalism and his commitment to universalism were rooted in his deep philosophical beliefs and ethical principles. He was not opposed to the goal of Indian independence; rather, he questioned the means and some of the ideologies that were gaining prominence within the nationalist movement. His contributions to literature, philosophy, and the promotion of cultural exchange remain highly respected, even if his stance on Indian nationalism generated controversy during his lifetime. Tagore's legacy continues to be a subject of complex and

nuanced discussions in the context of India's history and the broader world of ideas. Here are some key points regarding this political controversy:

1. **Critique of Nationalism:** Tagore was critical of extreme forms of nationalism, which he saw as divisive and potentially leading to violence and intolerance. He believed that a narrow focus on nationalism could undermine the broader ideals of humanity and universalism that he held dear.
2. **Advocacy for Universalism:** Tagore's emphasis on universalism and his belief in transcending national boundaries led him to view nationalism as an obstacle to global unity and cooperation. He argued that it could foster hostility and conflicts among nations.
3. **Conflict with Other Nationalist Leaders:** Tagore's stance brought him into conflict with other prominent nationalist leaders in India, such as Mohandas K. Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru, who were strong proponents of the Indian independence movement. Gandhi's approach of nonviolent civil disobedience and mass mobilization was at odds with Tagore's ideas.
4. **Out of Touch with Reality:** Some critics, especially those advocating for immediate independence from British rule, viewed Tagore as being out of touch with the political realities of his time. They argued that his perspective failed to address the urgent need for political action and liberation.
5. **Divergent Philosophical Outlook:** Tagore's philosophical and ethical outlook, grounded in spirituality, humanism, and the importance of cultural and intellectual exchange, contrasted with the more pragmatic and politically driven approaches of other leaders in the independence movement.
6. **Nobel Prize Controversy:** Tagore's decision to return his knighthood in protest against the Jallianwala Bagh massacre and his criticisms of British imperialism further complicated his relationship with the colonial authorities and with some Indian nationalists.

Simplification:

Some critics accuse Tagore of oversimplifying the distinctions between the East and West. They argue that cultures are complex and cannot be easily categorized into such binary terms. Critics who accuse Tagore of oversimplifying the distinctions between the East and West have a valid point. Tagore's contrasting views of Eastern and Western cultures were indeed simplified and painted with broad strokes. While he was a poet and philosopher rather than an anthropologist or sociologist, and his works often served a more symbolic or thematic purpose, it's essential to acknowledge the limitations of such simplifications. Critics who argue that Tagore oversimplified the distinctions between the East and West are emphasizing the need for a more nuanced and inclusive understanding of cultural diversity. Recognizing the complexity and fluidity of cultures can contribute to greater mutual respect and appreciation in an increasingly interconnected world. It is crucial to approach the study of cultures with sensitivity to the richness and depth of human experiences within and beyond the boundaries of East and West. Some key points regarding this critique:

1. **Cultural Complexity:** The world's cultures are incredibly complex, multifaceted, and diverse. To categorize them solely into Eastern and Western dichotomies neglects the vast array of cultural variations and nuances within each of these broad categories.
2. **Stereotyping:** Simplifying cultures into binary terms can perpetuate stereotypes and reinforce preconceived notions about the characteristics and values of people from these regions. It can lead to misunderstandings and perpetuate bias.
3. **Cultural Hybridity:** Many cultures, especially in today's globalized world, are not strictly Eastern or Western. They often exhibit elements of both, and individuals can possess diverse cultural influences.
4. **Historical Changes:** Cultures change and evolve over time due to various factors such as globalization, migration, technology, and social change. Tagore's views, although relevant in their historical context, might not fully capture the complexities of contemporary cultural interactions.
5. **Intra-Cultural Differences:** Even within a single culture or region, there can be significant variations based on factors like geography, language, religion, and socioeconomic status. Ignoring these intra-cultural differences oversimplifies the cultural landscape.
6. **Cultural Intersections:** The cultural interactions and exchanges between East and West have been ongoing for centuries, leading to a blending of ideas, practices, and values that defy simplistic categorizations.

II. Conclusion

Rabindranath Tagore's ideas on the East and West continue to be the subject of scholarly debate and discussion. While some admire his vision of cultural synthesis and universalism, others find fault with aspects of his idealism or the way he represented the East. It's important to understand that Tagore's views were shaped by the context of his time and his personal experiences, and they should be considered in that light.

References

- [1]. Asian Ideas of East and West; Tagore and his Critics in Japan, China and India. By HayStephen N.. [Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press (Harvard East Asian Series), 1970. xviii + 480 pp. £7 5s.] - Volume 44 - Hugh Tinker
- [2]. Kripalani, Krishna. Rabindranath Tagore: A Biography. London: Oxford UP, 1962.
- [3]. Marsh, Christine. "Towards One World: A Journey Through the English Essays of Rabindranath Tagore." Diss. Exeter U, 2013.
- [4]. Meadows, Donella H., Dennis L. Meadows, Jorgen Randers and William W. Behrens III. The Limits to Growth. London: Pan, 1972.
- [5]. Mollison, Bill. Permaculture: A Practical Guide for a Sustainable Future. Washington, D.C.: Island Press, 1990.
- [6]. Mukherjee, Radhakamal. "The Social Philosophy of Rabindranath Tagore." Tagore Birthday Number, Visva-Bharati Quarterly Vol. VII, Parts I & II, May-Oct. 1941. Ed. by K.R. Kripalani. 95-99.
- [7]. Mukherjee, Sujit. Passage to America: The Reception of Rabindranath Tagore in the United States, 1912-1941. Kolkata: Bookland, 1994.
- [8]. Nehru, Jawaharlal. Introduction. Rabindranath Tagore: A Centenary Volume 1861-1941. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi, 1961. xiii-xvi.
- [9]. Pagden, Anthony. The Enlightenment and Why It Still Matters. Oxford: Oxford UP, 2013.
- [10]. Paz, José. Tagore's Educational Model and its Relation with the New School Movement. Ourense, Spain: Prof. José Paz, 2012.
- [11]. O'Connell, Kathleen M. "Tagore and Education: Creativity, Mutuality and Survival." The Poet and His World: Critical Essays on Rabindranath Tagore. Ed. Mohammad A. Quayum. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan, 2011. 109-23.
- [12]. Radhakrishnan, Sarvepalli, et al., eds. Rabindranath Tagore: A Centenary Volume 1861-1941. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi, 1961.
- [13]. Rafique, Ahmad. "Tagore's Thoughts on Village Development and Rural Reconstruction." Contemporarising Tagore and the World. Ed. Imtiaz Ahmed, Muchkund Dubey and Veena Sikri. Dhaka: University Press, 2013. 375-93.
- [14]. Sinha, Sasadhar. Social Thinking of Rabindranath Tagore. London: Asia Publishing House, 1962.
- [15]. Sykes, Marjorie. Rabindranath Tagore. London: Longmans, 1943.
- [16]. Brown, Lester. World on the Edge: How to Prevent Environmental and Economic Collapse. London: Earthscan, 2011.
- [17]. Cassirer, Ernst. The Philosophy of the Enlightenment. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton UP, 1979.
- [18]. Dartington Hall Trust Archive, Papers of Leonard Knight Elmhirst. Ms. LKE India, LKE/IN/25 Folder A, "Visva-Bharati correspondence."
- [19]. Das Gupta, Uma. "Rabindranath Tagore on Rural Reconstruction: The Sriniketan Programme, 1921-41." Indian Historical Review 4 (1978): 354-78.