# Level and Determinants of Medical Expenditure and Out of Pocket Medical Expenditure on Hospitalization at Household Level in India

Gyan Chandra Kashyap<sup>1</sup> Narendra Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*PhD Student, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India* <sup>2</sup>*PhD Student, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India* 

**ABSTRACT:** This study makes an attempt to shade some light on medical expenditure and out of pocket expenditure on hospitalisation at household level in India. The specific objectives are to assess the levels and determinants of medical expenditure and out-of-pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization (inpatients) at the household level in India. The schedule 25.0 (morbidity & health care) of NSS 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004) data has been used. Some of the basic descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis have been used. 86 percent of the households paid their medical expenditure on hospitalization through households saving/income, 42 percent through borrowing, 18 percent through the contribution from family or friends and 6 percent paid through other sources of finance. Economic status of the households is closely associated with the medical expenditure and it is positively skewed for all the subgroups of the population and there is high variability in medical expenditure on hospitalization.

**KEYWORDS:** Medical expenditure, Hospitalization, Out-of pocket, NSSO, Source of finance

# I. INTRODUCTION

India continues to have the world's largest population—approximately 350 million or 35% of the population living below \$1-a-day income. Socio-economic condition is not much good as other developed countries. If we talk about health related issues, India's situation is not satisfactory in the sense of assessing the health facilities due to high health care expenses and poor administration and the health system attributable to the household dues through private insurance and out of pocket payments. These include financial outlays that the household itself is not necessarily aware of this type of payment for health.

Health systems know how to deliver health services, anticipatory and curative, that can make a disparity to peoples 'health. Nevertheless, accessing these services can lead to those having to pay ruinous proportions of their available income and move forwards many households into dearth. The potential impact of how health systems are financed on the wellbeing of households, mainly poor households, has affected the design of health systems. On the other hand, even small costs for common illnesses can be financially disastrous for poor households with no insurance cover. Little, however, is known about which health-system characteristics protect households from ruinous payments, or the factors that lead some households to face such payments while others are protected. Insurance were more likely to be affected than other households. Knowledge is also necessary of which households are more vulnerable for any set of system characteristics. We aimed to quantify the extent of ruinous payments and investigate the conditions under which they are most likely to occur, taking advantage of the increasing number of available household income and expenditure. As we know that health expenditure is more vulnerable for poor's because they don't have insurance coverage and knowledge about insurance. On the other hand rich people have covered by any health insurance and they are more secure because they are reducing their health expenditure, So that this a curse for poor's.

In the current scenario health expenditure is out of pocket due to poor economic condition. Out of pocket health expenditure express that expenses for which household have not allotted the fund or we can say that is much higher than allotted fund for the health expenses, because of poor economic condition. Studies have identified that too much variation on OOP health payments at the time of care, in a health care financing context dominated by private expenditures combined with weak public health systems, and almost negligible health insurance are largely responsible for high prevalence of catastrophic health payments in South Asia (Xu et al. 2003; Wagstaff and van Doorslaer 2003; van Doorslaer et al. 2005, 2006, and 2007).

Government is providing health facilities in different levels, started with primary health centre, sub centre, community health centre in the sequence. But a common person not getting the services due to the poor administration.lot of primary health centre is not working properly due to the lack of health workers. The condition of sub center and CHC also not very good, sometimes doctor available medicine is not available. Generally patient has to take the sonography, x-ray, medicine and other tests from the outside and other private centers. This is very difficult assess the health facilities for the common people. According to (Government of India (GoI), 2005) 70% health expenditure is out of pocket due to this common man has to take or borrow some money from others, which pushes to common man in the poverty, and the whole structure of expenditure may be collapsed. We seen that the whole expenditure are depends on the economic values. Studies such as Sepehri *et al.* (2006), and Rous and Hotchkiss (2003) examine the determinants of health care spending by households. Medical spending is regarded as catastrophic if it exceeds a predetermined share of household income or total expenditure in a given period (Wagstaff and van Doorslaer, 2003; Xu et al., 2003).

Our income is fixed but health expenditure may vary according to the type of disease and duration of the disease. In other way we can say that the proportion of health expenditure increases, other expenditure will decreases because of the fixed household income. As we know India is developing country and unemployment is increasing as population increasing with rapid growth day by day. In this situation very difficult to assess the health facility it also push to household in the poverty. Here we have listed some figures on healthcare financing in India.

| Financing Healthcare in India (2004-05) |  |                             |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Source                                  | Estimated users in millions <sup>1</sup> | Expenditure (Rs<br>billion) |  |  |  |
| Public Sector                           | 290                                      | 310(16) <sup>3</sup>        |  |  |  |
| Social Insurance                        | 80                                       | 30(1.5)                     |  |  |  |
| Private Sector                          | 790                                      | $1650(84)^2$                |  |  |  |
| Private Insurance                       | 12                                       | $12(0.6)^4$                 |  |  |  |
| Out of Pocket                           | 778                                      | 1638(83.4)                  |  |  |  |
| Total                                   | 1080                                     | 1960(100)                   |  |  |  |

*Note:* These estimates include local government health spending. The figures in parentheses are percentages. 1 Estimates derived by author based on NSSO (1998).

2 Estimates derived by author based on Central Statistical Organization (2004).

3 Compiled from Ministry of Finance (2004), Reserve Bank of India (2005), Labour Bureau (2002) and MoHFW (2002).

4 Private health insurance data estimates obtained through personal communication with Insurance companies.

#### II METHODS

#### Need for the study

As India is undergoing epidemiological transition and experiencing the double burden of diseases, it has its dire ramifications on the household economy especially when it comes to the hospitalisation in an environment where public expenditure is still very meagre and there is proliferation of private players in health care. Several studies have examined health expenditure and catastrophic out of pocket health expenditure and its implications on impoverishment of the households taking into consideration both inpatient and outpatient care. But, the hospitalization itself is catastrophe for a household from any strata of the society. Also a recent study by Berman *et al.* (2010) shows that one time hospitalisation costs much higher than several out-patient medical services expenditure. Hospitalisation turns to be a nightmare to the vulnerable poor segment society whose economy shatter to meet the expenses as they are not left with any option except borrowing. Although there is burgeoning research on health expenditure taking into account both in and out patients care services but hospitalization expenditure is relatively less explored on household level. Keeping this broad objective in mind present study makes an attempt to shade some light on medical expenditure and out of pocket expenditure on hospitalisation at household level in India.

# **Objectives of the study**

The broad objectives of this study are-

- 1. To assess the levels of medical expenditure and out-of-pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization (inpatients) at the household level in India.
- 2. To examine the determinants of medical expenditure and out-of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization at household level in India.

#### **III DATA SOURCE**

In this study, schedule 25.0 (morbidity & health care) of NSS 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004) has been used. This survey was conducted during the January-June; 2004. This survey covered entire area of the country with the exception of some interior part of Nagaland and Andaman & Nicobar Islands, and leh (Ladakh) and kargil districts of Jammu & Kashmir. In this survey, 73868 households were covered out of these households information on 383338 individuals has been recorded, also the information about 1717 individuals died during the reference period of 365 days has been collected. This study utilizes the information on hospitalization and expenditure on hospitalization given in the questionnaire. Specifically, we used 2<sup>nd</sup> level for household characteristics and levels 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> for health expenditure for treatment during stay at hospital in the reference period of 365 days. In the data set, information on hospitalization and expenditure on hospitalization is given for 32665 hospitalized cases. These hospitalized cases are for the 29665 individuals from 27617 households. Since our study is focused on health expenditure at household level so we have summed up all the expenditure for all the individuals at household level after summing up all the expenditure in all hospitalized cases for each individual.

### **Concepts and Definitions**

#### Hospitalization

A person was considered as hospitalized if he had availed any of medical services as an indoor patient (inpatient) in any hospital. Hospital, for the purpose of survey, referred to any medical institution which has provision for admission of sick persons as indoor patients (inpatients) for treatment. Hospitals covered public hospitals, CHCs, PHCs (if provided with beds), ESI hospitals, private hospitals, nursing homes etc. (NSS 60<sup>th</sup> round). Here it is notable that cases of hospitalization due to pregnancy and child birth had been considered as hospitalization case. The reference period for the hospitalization was 365 days prior to the date of the survey.

#### Medical Expenditure

In this study, the medical expenditure is computed as the total of expenditure incurred for medical treatment as inpatient services received during the reference period (365 days prior to the survey period). The item included in computing medical expenditure include item number 5-13 from level 7 and item number 14-17 from level8 of the schedule 25.0 of NSS 60<sup>th</sup> round. All other type of expenditure incurred for treatment, such as a loading charges of escorts, attendant charges, cost of transport other then ambulance, were excluded from medical expenditure.

#### **Out–of-Pocket Health Expenditure (OOP)**

Out of pocket health payment refers to the payments made by households at the point they receive health services. Typically these include doctor's consultation fees, purchases of medication and hospital bills. Although spending on alternatives and /or traditional medicine is included in out of packet payments. Expenditure on health-related transportation and special nutrition are excluded. It is also important to note that out of pocket payments are net of any reimbursement. In this study we have computed out of pocket expenditure by subtracting the total reimbursement from the total medical expenditure.

# Yearly Consumer Expenditure per Capita

We have computed Yearly Consumer Expenditure Per Capita by multiplying the total household monthly consumer expenditure by 12 and dividing by the household size. Household consumer expenditure contains the total of purchase, home-produced stock, receipts in exchange of goods and services, gifts and loans, free collection

# IV METHODOLOGY

In this study, we have used some of the basic descriptive statistical tools to assess the level of medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization and to explore the distribution of the medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. For this purpose we have used mean and median as measures of central tendency. Previous studies have shown that health expenditure data is positively skewed and in such situation median is considered better measure of central tendency. Other descriptive statistics used in the study are first quartile (Q1), third quartile (Q3), Inter-quartile range (IQR) and coefficient of variation (CV).

To examine the determinants of medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization we have used multiple regression analysis. In the analysis, we have taken log of medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization as dependent variable. The specification of the regression model is as follows:

 $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_n X_n.$ 

Where y is the dependent variable and  $\beta_i$  are the regression coefficients and  $X_i$  are the independent variables (predictors).

# Explanatory variables used in the study

Continuous variables

- 1. Logarithm of yearly per capita consumer expenditure
- 2. Household size
- Dummy variables
- 1. Various sources of finance: yes=1; otherwise 0.
- 2. Land: more than one acre=1; otherwise 0.
- 3. Type of household structure: Pucca house=1; otherwise 0.
- 4. Cooking fuel: Non solid=1; otherwise 0. Here non solid fuel include LPG, electricity and kerosene
- 5. Drinking water: unsafe=1; otherwise 0. Here safe drinking water includes bottled water, piped water.
- 6. Type of toilet: flush=1; otherwise 0.
- 7. Education of head of household: middle and above=1; otherwise=0.
- 8. Caste: other than SC/ST = 1; otherwise 0.
- 9. Religion: Hindu=1; otherwise 0.
- 10. Type of place of residence: Urban=1; otherwise 0.

# V RESULTS

# Descriptive results for Medical expenditure and out of pocket health expenditure on hospitalization at household level

**Table 1** presents descriptive statistics for medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization at household level by selected background characteristics of the household. In this table mean gives level of medical expenditure on hospitalization at household level. The distributional statistics given in the table are mean and median; the table shows significant variations in the mean medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization across the states. Uttar Pradesh has highest mean medical expenditure on hospitalization (Rs. 10169) and Madhya Pradesh has lowest medical expenditure on hospitalization (Rs. 10169) and Madhya Pradesh has lowest medical expenditure on hospitalization (Rs. 5924). The table shows that household economic status measured by yearly per capita consumer expenditure quintile have significant impact on mean household medical expenditure on hospitalization. Also, mean medical expenditure on hospitalization is consistently increasing with the consumption quintile. The table depicts positively skewed distribution of household medical expenditure on hospitalization with urban areas having higher mean households medical expenditure on hospitalization with urban areas having higher mean household is also showing effect on the level of medical expenditure on hospitalization.

Table shows that as schooling increases health expenditure is also increasing. In the no education category mean household expenditure (Rs.6042) on hospitalization and in the category Secondary and above mean household expenditure on hospitalization is highest (Rs.14013). Variability in medical expenditure on hospitalization groups is also increasing with the education. Among Muslims mean medical expenditure on hospitalization is lowest and others category has the highest mean medical expenditure on hospitalization. Caste is also shown to have impact on medical expenditure on hospitalization with SC/STs having lowest and others category having highest mean household medical expenditure on hospitalization. Also within the caste group variability in terms of coefficient of variation is higher in the others category compare to

others caste group. Source of cooking fuel and drinking water is also having impact on the level of medical expenditure on hospitalization. Overall, mean medical expenditure is Rs.8353 the table reveals significant socioeconomic and regional variation in the mean expenditure. The distribution of out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization across the sub groups of the population. Tables shows significant variation in mean out of pocket health expenditure on hospitalization across the states with Uttar Pradesh having highest (Rs.9948) and Madhya Pradesh having lowest(Rs. 5810) mean out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. The table reveals that mean out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization is positively skewed for all the states. Household's economic status in terms of yearly consumption expenditure quintile is shown to have effect on the level of out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. In the higher consumption quintile mean out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization.

|                     |             | Medical expense | liture | Out of j | pocket medical expenditure |        |  |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|--------|--|
|                     | Mean        | Median          | Sample | Mean     | Median                     | Sample |  |
| State               |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| Rajasthan           | 9464        | 4550            | 1,260  | 9201     | 4500                       | 1,260  |  |
| Uttar Pradesh       | 10170       | 5000            | 3,400  | 9948     | 5000                       | 3,400  |  |
| Bihar               | 8312        | 3500            | 1,415  | 8136     | 3450                       | 1,415  |  |
| West Bengal         | 6518        | 2252            | 1,992  | 6196     | 2160                       | 1,992  |  |
| Madhya Pradesh      | 5924        | 2800            | 1,323  | 5810     | 2650                       | 1,323  |  |
| Gujarat             | 7861        | 3080            | 1,128  | 7494     | 3000                       | 1,128  |  |
| Maharashtra         | 9346        | 3600            | 2,165  | 8479     | 3348                       | 2,165  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh      | 8406        | 3000            | 1,998  | 7989     | 2900                       | 1,998  |  |
| Karnataka           | 7303        | 2625            | 1,329  | 6374     | 2550                       | 1,329  |  |
| Kerala              | 6585        | 2500            | 1,214  | 6318     | 2400                       | 1,214  |  |
| Tamilnadu           | 8582        | 1750            | 2,098  | 8207     | 1650                       | 2,098  |  |
| Yearly Consumer     | Expenditure | 2               |        |          |                            |        |  |
| First Quintile      | 4624        | 1800            | 3,448  | 4522     | 1800                       | 3,448  |  |
| Second Quintile     | 5172        | 2140            | 4,101  | 5109     | 2100                       | 4,101  |  |
| Third Quintile      | 6180        | 2800            | 5,139  | 6059     | 2800                       | 5,139  |  |
| Fourth Quintile     | 7796        | 3080            | 6,400  | 7651     | 3000                       | 6,400  |  |
| Fifth Quintile      | 13184       | 5000            | 8,529  | 11950    | 4500                       | 8,529  |  |
| Sector              |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| Rural               | 7202        | 3000            | 17,503 | 7067     | 2900                       | 17,503 |  |
| Urban               | 10857       | 3600            | 10,114 | 9711     | 3300                       | 10,114 |  |
| Religion            |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| Hindu               | 8154        | 3000            | 21,909 | 7701     | 3000                       | 21,909 |  |
| Muslim              | 7577        | 3000            | 3,274  | 7361     | 3000                       | 3,274  |  |
| Others              | 11708       | 3750            | 2,434  | 10876    | 3500                       | 2,434  |  |
| Caste               |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| SC\ST               | 5532        | 2000            | 7,526  | 5243     | 1987                       | 7,526  |  |
| OBC                 | 7882        | 3000            | 10,426 | 7677     | 3000                       | 10,426 |  |
| Others              | 10836       | 4150            | 9,658  | 9980     | 4000                       | 9,658  |  |
| Fuel                |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| Unsafe              | 6250        | 2600            | 18,291 | 6153     | 2540                       | 18,291 |  |
| Safe                | 12828       | 4700            | 9,316  | 11614    | 4050                       | 9,316  |  |
| Water               |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| Safe water          | 9313        | 3050            | 12,547 | 8554     | 3000                       | 12,547 |  |
| Unsafe water        | 7552        | 3000            | 15,069 | 7353     | 3000                       | 15,069 |  |
| Education of Head   |             |                 |        |          |                            |        |  |
| No schooling        | 6042        | 2460            | 9285   | 5943     | 2400                       | 9285   |  |
| Up to Primary       | 6963        | 2965            | 7043   | 6827     | 2850                       | 7043   |  |
| Middle              | 7717        | 3240            | 4548   | 7489     | 3100                       | 4548   |  |
| Secondary and above | 14013       | 5000            | 6732   | 12465    | 4500                       | 6732   |  |

**Table1:** Medical expenditure and Out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization in India, January-June, 2004: Descriptive statistics by selected background characteristics

|         |      |      |       | Level and Determinants of Medical Expenditure |      |       |  |  |
|---------|------|------|-------|---|------|-------|--|--|
| Overall | 9354 | 3000 | 27617 | 7000  | 2000 | 27617 |  |  |
| 0,010   | 0354 | 3000 | 2/01/ | 7900  | 3000 | 2/01/ |  |  |

Note: mean, median, values in rupees

Table also showing rural urban differentials in the level of out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization and urban area has higher variability in out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Education of head of household is also showing effect on the level of out of pocket medical health expenditure on hospitalization. Table shows that as schooling increases out of pocket medical expenditure also increasing. In the no education category mean household expenditure on hospitalization is highest (Rs. 12464). Variability in out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization is highest (Rs. 12464). Variability in out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization within education groups is also increasing with the education. Among religious categories Muslims have lowest (Rs.7360) and others category have highest (Rs.10876) mean out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization.

#### Descriptive results for medical expenditure by the source of finance

**Table 2** presents the percent distribution of households by source of finance for medical expenditure on the hospitalization by selected background characteristics. Table shows that 86 percent of households paid their medical expenditure on hospitalization through households saving/income, 42 percent paid through borrowing, 18 percent paid through the contribution from family or friends and 6 percent paid through other sources of finance. The table depicts significant variations across the states in the distribution of households by source of finance for medical expenditure on hospitalization. Madhya Pradesh have highest percent (91%) of households paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through the households savings or income, and Tamil Nadu have lowest (73 %) oh households paying medical expenditure through households savings or income. Andhra Pradesh have highest percent (53.8%) of households and lowest Maharashtra have (35.2 %) of households paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through the borrowing. In the next step Uttar Pradesh have highest percent (23.6 %) and lowest Rajasthan have (10 %) of households paying their medical expenditure through the contribution of family/friends. West Bengal has highest percent (11.5%) of households and lowest in Rajasthan percent (2.5%) of households paying their medical expenditure through other sources.

The table reveals association between household economic status (measured by yearly consumer expenditure quintiles) and the source of finance for medical expenditure on hospitalization. From the table we see that in the first consumption quintile lowest percentage (79%) of households are paying medical expenditure on hospitalization through the household savings while in the fifth consumption quintile highest percentage of households(91%) are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through the household savings and income. On the contrary, lowest quintile have highest percentage (53 %) of households and the highest quintile have lowest percentage (28%) of households are paying medical expenditure on hospitalization through borrowing. In the lowest quintile have highest (21%) of households and the highest quintile have lowest (15%) of households are paying their medical expenditure through contribution from family/friends. In the lowest quintile have highest (8.6%) of households and the highest quintile have lowest (4.3%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through other sources. Table clearly shows the differentials between rural and urban in the source of finance. In the rural areas (85%) of households and in urban areas (89%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through the household saving/income. In the source of finance rural (48%) of households and in the urban (29.5%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through the borrowing, table shows that in rural (20%) of households and in the urban (16%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from friends/family. In the households by source of finance in rural area (7%) and in urban (4%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through other sources.

The differentials among the religions in the source of finance shows that Hindu (85%), Muslims (87%) and others (89%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through household saving/income. while Muslim (45%), Hindu (42%) and others (37%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through borrowing. In the source of finance Muslims (22%), others (20%) and Hindu (18%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from the family/friends. In the source of finance Muslims (7%), Hindu (6%) and others (5%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from the source of finance Muslims (7%), Hindu (6%) and others (5%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from the others sources.

| Table 2: Percent distribution of households by source of finance for medical expenditure on hospita | lization in |
|---|-------------|
| India, January-June, 2004   |             |

| Background Characteristics  | HH savings /<br>income | Borrowing | Contribution<br>from<br>family/friends | Other<br>Source | Sample |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| State                       |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| Rajasthan                   | 90                     | 48        | 10                                     | 3               | 1,260  |
| Uttar Pradesh               | 90                     | 39        | 24                                     | 6               | 3,400  |
| Bihar                       | 90                     | 49        | 24                                     | 10              | 1,415  |
| West Bengal                 | 89                     | 42        | 23                                     | 12              | 1,992  |
| Madhya Pradesh              | 91                     | 42        | 17                                     | 4               | 1,323  |
| Gujarat                     | 89                     | 39        | 16                                     | 3               | 1,128  |
| Maharashtra                 | 83                     | 35        | 20                                     | 5               | 2,165  |
| Andhra Pradesh              | 80                     | 54        | 13                                     | 3               | 1,998  |
| Karnataka                   | 83                     | 39        | 12                                     | 4               | 1,329  |
| Kerala                      | 86                     | 45        | 22                                     | 7               | 1,214  |
| Tamilnadu                   | 73                     | 46        | 13                                     | 6               | 2,098  |
| Yearly Consumer Expenditure |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| First Quintile              | 79                     | 53        | 21                                     | 9               | 3,448  |
| Second Quintile             | 81                     | 50        | 21                                     | 7               | 4,101  |
| Third Quintile              | 85                     | 50        | 19                                     | 7               | 5,139  |
| Fourth Quintile             | 86                     | 44        | 18                                     | 5               | 6,400  |
| Fifth Quintile              | 91                     | 28        | 15                                     | 4               | 8,529  |
| Sector                      |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| Rural                       | 85                     | 48        | 20                                     | 7               | 17,503 |
| Urban                       | 89                     | 30        | 16                                     | 4               | 10,114 |
| Religion                    |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| Hindu                       | 85                     | 42        | 18                                     | 6               | 21,909 |
| Muslim                      | 87                     | 45        | 22                                     | 7               | 3,274  |
| Others                      | 89                     | 37        | 20                                     | 5               | 2,434  |
| Caste                       |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| SC\ST                       | 82                     | 49        | 19                                     | 7               | 7,526  |
| OBC                         | 85                     | 45        | 18                                     | 6               | 10,426 |
| Others                      | 89                     | 34        | 18                                     | 5               | 9,658  |
| Fuel                        |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| Unsafe                      | 84                     | 50        | 20                                     | 7               | 18,291 |
| Safe                        | 91                     | 26        | 16                                     | 3               | 9,316  |
| Water                       |                        |           |  |                 |        |
| Safe water                  | 86                     | 37        | 15                                     | 4               | 12,547 |
| Unsafe water                | 86                     | 46        | 21                                     | 7               | 15,069 |
| Overall                     | 86                     | 42        | 18                                     | 6               | 27,617 |

Note: Percentages are for multiple responses, so these may not add up to 100 percent

The table shows the differentials among the caste by the source of finance others (89%), OBC (85%) and SC\ST (82%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from the household's savings/income. by the source of finance among in SC\ST (49%), OBC (45%) and others (34%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from the borrowing. Table explains that among in SC\ST (19%), OBC (18.2%) and others (17.9%) of households paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through contribution from the SC\ST (7.2%), OBC (5.7%) and others (5.1%) households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization through other sources. The percent distribution of households by source of finance differentials between the unsafe fuel(83.6%) and safe fuel (91%) of households by saving, unsafe(50%) and safe (26%) of households by borrowing, unsafe (20%) and safe (16%) of households by contribution and unsafe(7%) and safe (3.3%) of households are paying their medical expenditure on hospitalization.

**Table 3** presents results of multiple regression analysis carried out to examine the determinants of the medical expenditure and out of pocket health expenditure on hospitalization at household level. In this analysis we have taken log of medical expenditure as dependent variable and a set of independent variables. The regression coefficients given in the table are showing magnitude of impact of predictors on the log of medical expenditure.

| Dependent variable                      | Log(N        | Medical expenditure) | )       | Log (Out-of-pocket medical expenditure) |                |         |  |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------|---|----------------|---------|--|
| Predictors                              | Coefficients | Standard error       | P-value | Coefficients                            | Standard error | P-value |  |
| Economic Variables                      |              |                      |         |   |                |         |  |
| Log of Per capita yearly HH expenditure | 0.627        | 0.026                | 0       | 0.47                                    | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Source of finance: saving               | 1.016        | 0.039                | 0       | 1.04                                    | 0.04           | 0       |  |
| Source of finance: borrowing            | 1.395        | 0.027                | 0       | 1.44                                    | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Source of finance: contribution         | 0.997        | 0.032                | 0       | 1.03                                    | 0.04           | 0       |  |
| Source of finance: other                | 1.07         | 0.052                | 0       | 0.95                                    | 0.06           | 0       |  |
| More than one acre Land                 | 0.348        | 0.034                | 0       | 0.39                                    | 0.04           | 0       |  |
| Pucca house                             | 0.424        | 0.029                | 0       | 0.44                                    | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Health risk factors                     |              |                      |         |   |                |         |  |
| safe fuel                               | 0.35         | 0.039                | 0       | 0.28                                    | 0.04           | 0       |  |
| safe water                              | -0.186       | 0.028                | 0       | -0.23                                   | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Flush toilet                            | 0.16         | 0.035                | 0       | 0.13                                    | 0.04           | 0.001   |  |
| Demographic                             |              |                      |         |   |                |         |  |
| Household size                          | 0.095        | 0.005                | 0       | 0.09                                    | 0.01           | 0       |  |
| Social variables                        |              |                      |         |   |                |         |  |
| Middle and above education(head)        | 0.229        | 0.028                | 0       | 0.14                                    | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Cast: other than SC/ST                  | 0.377        | 0.028                | 0       | 0.47                                    | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Religion: Hindu                         | 0.144        | 0.031                | 0       | 0.19                                    | 0.03           | 0       |  |
| Regional Variables                      |              |                      |         |   |                |         |  |
| Urban                                   | -0.284       | 0.035                | 0       | -0.29                                   | 0.04           | 0       |  |
| Constant                                | -0.923       | 0.233                | 0       | 0.31                                    | 0.25           | 0.215   |  |
| R-Square                                | 0.1974       |                      |         | 0.17                                    |                |         |  |
| Sample Size                             | 27519        |                      |         | 27519                                   |                |         |  |

 Table 3: multiple regression analysis of medical expenditure and Out-of-pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization at household level in India, January-June, 2004

The table depicts that the predictors taken in the model are significantly affecting the medical expenditure on hospitalization. From the table we see that dummies of source of finance have highest contribution to the medical expenditure on hospitalization. Borrowing has highest contribution (beta=1.4) to the medical expenditure on hospitalization and household saving/income are the second highest contributor. From the table we see that log of yearly household per capita consumer expenditure has highest impact (beta=0.63) on medical expenditure on hospitalization except the dummies of source of finance. Among the source of finance borrowing is showing highest impact. Among health risk factors safe fuel and flush toilet are positively affecting medical expenditure on hospitalization while safe water have negative impact on the medical expenditure on hospitalization. Household size has very less impact though positive effect on the medical expenditure on hospitalization. Social factors too have shown significant association to the medical expenditure on hospitalization. Among the social factors caste is showing highest impact on medical expenditure on hospitalization. The regional variable that is place of residence (urban) is negatively affecting the household medical expenditure on hospitalization. Overall, the table reveals that household economic status, caste and education of head of household are most prominent determinants of the medical expenditure on hospitalization. Also, the measure of goodness of fit of the model R-square value is 0.2 that is predictors considered in the model are able explain only 20% variation in the medical expenditure on hospitalization. In second part of the results of multiple regression analysis carried out to examine the determinants of out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. The table shows that among the economic variables finance from borrowing has highest impact on the out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Household economic status measured by yearly household consumption expenditure also has significant positive impact on out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Among the health risk factors safe drinking water is negatively affecting out-of pocket household expenditure on hospitalization while flush toilet and safe fuel is positively affecting the out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Household size has very less positive impact on out of

pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Social factors have significant positive impact on out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Among the social factors caste has emerges as the most determining factor of the level of out of pocket health expenditure with regression coefficient 0.47. Residence in urban areas has negative impact shown by beta value (-0.29) on out of pocket health expenditure on hospitalization. R-square for the above model is 0.17 that is the set of predictors considered in the model are explaining 17% of the variation in the dependent variable. Over all we see that household economic status; caste and place of residence are significant in determining out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization.

#### VI SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Our main aim in this study has been to examine the levels and determinants of the medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization at household level in India. In the process, we have examined the distribution of households by source of finance for medical expenditure on hospitalization and also explored the distributional aspects of the medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure at household level across the subgroups of the population. The study reveals that distribution of both medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization at household level is positively skewed that is the distribution of the above two longer tail on the right side. Findings from the study show significant regional variations in the both medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure. The study confirms that household economic status is the important determining factor of the level of medical expenditure on hospitalization at the household level. Household economic status have also been found to significant in positively determining the level of out of pocket health expenditure on hospitalization at the household level. Social factors like education of the head of the household, caste and religion too are determining the level of medical expenditure and out of pocket health expenditure. However, household size has been found to have very less impact on the level of medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Urban place of residence has been found to negatively affect medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure.

Results of the study show significant variations in the source of finance across the subgroups of the population. The study finds regional variations in the source of finance of medical expenditure. Household's economic status is closely related to the source of finance. In the lower economic status category comparatively lower percent of households are financing their medical expenditure on hospitalization through personal savings but relatively higher percentage of the households are financing medical expenditure through borrowing or contribution from family or friends. Caste groups too have similar pattern of financing their medical expenditure. From the above discussion we can conclude that there are regional variations in the source of finance for medical expenditure of households. Economic status of the households is closely associated with the medical expenditure and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization. Distribution of the medical and out of pocket medical expenditure is positively skewed for all the subgroups of the population and there is high variability in the medical and out of pocket medical expenditure on hospitalization.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ke Xu, David B Evans, Kei Kawabata, Riadh Zeramdini, Jan Klavus, Christopher J L Murray "Household catastrophic health expenditure: a multicounty analysis" THE LANCET • Vol 362 • July 12, 2003.
- Wagstaff, A. and E. Doorslaer, (2003). "Catastrophe and Impoverishment in Paying for Health Care: with Applications to Vietnam, 1993-98", Health Economics, 12, pp. 921-934.
- [3] Eddy Van Doorsl, Owen O'donnell, Ravindra P. Rannan-Eliya, Aparnaa Somanathan, Shiva Raj Adhikari, .....and Yuxin Zhaol.(2007) "Catastrophic Payments For Health Care In Asia" Health Econ.16: 1159–1184 (2007)
- [4] Owen O'Donnell, Eddy van Doorslaer, Ravi P. Rannan-Eliya, Aparnaa Somanathan, Charu C. Garg, Piya Hanvoravongchai,...and Chitpranee Vasavid (2005)"Explaining the incidence of catastrophic expenditures on health care: Comparative evidence from Asia" EQUITAP Project: Working Paper #5
- [5] Van Doorslaer, E., O. O' Donnell, Rannan-Eliya R P, Somanathan A, Adhikari S R and Akkazieva B., (2005). Paying out-of-pocket for health care in Asia: Catastrophic and poverty impact. EQUITAP Working Paper #2, Erasmus University, Rotterdam and IPS, Colombo.
- [6] Government of India (2006): Morbidity, Health Care and the Condition of the Aged, National Sample Survey Organization, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, New Delhi.
- [7] Ardeshir Sepehri, Sisira Sarma and Wayne Simpson (2006) "Does non-profit health insurance reduce financial burden?
- Evidence from the Vietnam living standards survey panel" Health Econ. 15: 603-616 (2006)
- [8] Rous, J. and D. Hotchkiss (2003). "Estimation of the Determinants of Household Health Care Expenditures in Nepal with Controls for Endogenous Illness and Provider Choice", Health Economics, 12, pp. 431-451.
- [9] Reserve Bank of India (2005), Finances of State Governments, Mumbai: Reserve Bank of India. NSSO (1998), Report No.
- 441,52nd Round 1995/6, National Sample Survey Organization: New Delhi Government of India. NCAER (2002), Who Benefits from Public Health Spending in India. New Delhi: NCAER.
- [10] Morbidity and Treatment of Aliments, NSS 60th Round (2006), January-June 2004, National Sample Survey Organisation, GOI.
   [11] Garg, C., and A. Karan (2005b), OOP Expenditure and Impoverishment: Policy Implications for Targeted Populations in India. Government of India (2005): Financing and Delivery of Health Care Services in India, National Commission on Macroeconomics and Health, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi.

- International Institute for Population Sciences and World Health Organization (2006): Health System Performance Assessment: [12] World Health Survey-2003, India, IIPS, Mumbai, India.
- Economic Research Foundation (2006). Government health expenditure in India: a benchmark study. New Delhi. [13]
- [14] Garg, C., and Karan, A. (2009). "Reducing out of pocket expenditures to reduce poverty: a disaggregated analysis at rural urban and state level in India". Health Policy and Planning, 24(2): 116–28. Ke Xu (2005): "distribution of health payments and catastrophic expenditures methodology, world health organization Geneva.
- [15]
- [16] Rama joglekar (2008)."Can insurance reduce catastrophic out of pocket health expenditure", wp-2008-016. IGIDR, Mumbai. WP-2008-016.
- [17] Renu Shahrawat1 and Krishna D Rao2\*"Insured yet vulnerable: out-of-pocket payments and India's poor" National Institute of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India, 2Public Health Foundation of India, New Delhi, India