

"India-Nepal Resolution (2005–2010): A Study of Bilateral Relations and Regional Diplomacy"

Dr.Indu Bala

I. Introduction

The bilateral relationship between India and Nepal has been one of profound historical, cultural, economic, and geopolitical significance. Spanning centuries of close interaction, the two nations are not only neighbours with an open border but also partners bound by numerous treaties, religious connections, and socio-cultural similarities. However, the period from 2005 to 2010 stands out as a particularly dynamic era in the annals of India-Nepal relations. It was marked by dramatic political transformations in Nepal, most notably the end of its monarchy and the emergence of a federal democratic republic, while India played a significant role in shaping, responding to, and at times managing these transitions. This paper analyses the India-Nepal resolution and bilateral engagement during this pivotal five-year window.

Chapter 1: Historical Background and Pre-2005 Relations

India and Nepal's ties can be traced back to ancient times, well before modern state structures. Both countries share religious roots in Hinduism and Buddhism and a long history of people-to-people contact. The 1950 Indo-Nepal Treaty of Peace and Friendship laid the foundation for modern bilateral relations, allowing open borders, reciprocal rights of residence, property, and employment.

In the post-1990 democratic era in Nepal, relations with India remained largely stable but occasionally strained due to political upheavals in Kathmandu, border issues, trade disputes, and perceptions of Indian interference. By the early 2000s, Nepal was engulfed in a Maoist insurgency that sought to overthrow the monarchy, leading to increased regional insecurity. India, despite advocating democratic processes, was cautious about openly supporting the Maoist cause due to its implications for regional stability.

Chapter 2: 2005 – Royal Takeover and Indian Displeasure

On February 1, 2005, King Gyanendra dismissed the elected government and assumed direct control of Nepal. Citing the failure of political parties to curb Maoist violence, he declared a state of emergency and suspended fundamental rights. India, alongside other international actors like the United States and the United Kingdom, strongly opposed this move, viewing it as a setback to democratic progress.

India suspended military aid to Nepal and emphasized the need for constitutional governance. The Royal takeover strained Indo-Nepal relations, with India pressuring the King to restore democracy. Simultaneously, India maintained back-channel communications with Nepalese political parties and even the Maoists, signaling its strategic flexibility in the evolving situation.

Chapter 3: 2006 – The People's Movement and India's Strategic Mediation

In April 2006, after months of growing unrest, Nepal witnessed a mass uprising—Jana Andolan II (People's Movement II)—that brought together mainstream political parties and Maoist rebels against the King's autocracy. The movement led to the restoration of the dissolved Parliament and eventually, the King's relinquishment of power.

India played a critical role during this transition. It hosted and facilitated the 12-point agreement between the Seven Party Alliance (SPA) and the Communist Party of Nepal (Maoist) in New Delhi in November 2005. This agreement laid the groundwork for coordinated resistance against monarchy and led to political unity during the 2006 movement.

India's diplomatic and political support for the democratic forces in Nepal during this period was instrumental in the success of the movement. Following the King's surrender, India promptly resumed diplomatic and economic engagement with Nepal.

Chapter 4: 2007–2008 – Peace Process and the End of Monarchy

The years 2007 and 2008 were marked by Nepal's complex transition from monarchy to republic. A series of milestones occurred during this period:

The signing of the Comprehensive Peace Accord in 2006 (which India supported behind the scenes) began the formal peace process.

The Interim Constitution of 2007 was promulgated, formally removing the King's executive powers.

In April 2008, Nepal held its first Constituent Assembly elections.

In May 2008, the monarchy was officially abolished, and Nepal was declared a federal democratic republic.

India supported this transformation by providing logistical and political backing for elections and constitutional transition. However, India's role was not without controversy. Critics in Nepal accused New Delhi of micromanaging Nepalese politics, while Indian policymakers feared the rise of anti-India Maoist elements in Kathmandu.

Chapter 5: 2008–2009 – The Maoist Government and Strains in Bilateral Relations

In August 2008, Pushpa Kamal Dahal (Prachanda), leader of the Maoists, became Nepal's Prime Minister. His tenure marked a period of cautious optimism mixed with significant tension in India-Nepal relations.

Although Prachanda initially maintained cordial ties with India, his decision to deepen military ties with China and attempt to dismiss the Nepal Army chief, who was perceived as pro-India, triggered unease in New Delhi. When President Ram Baran Yadav overruled the Prime Minister's dismissal of the army chief in May 2009, Prachanda resigned, accusing India of interference.

This episode significantly soured relations. The Maoists became increasingly vocal in criticizing India, and New Delhi, in turn, became wary of Nepal's internal political instability and growing Chinese influence.

Chapter 6: 2009–2010 – Political Deadlock and India's Balancing Act

The post-Prachanda period in Nepal was marked by political deadlock. A series of short-lived coalition governments took charge, but the constitution-drafting process remained paralyzed due to deep political rifts.

India adopted a cautious stance, avoiding overt support to any one political faction. However, it continued to advocate for consensus-based governance and a timely constitution. India also increased developmental assistance, infrastructure investment, and bilateral dialogues during this period to maintain its influence and goodwill among the Nepalese people.

Security concerns, especially over cross-border terrorism, fake currency smuggling, and open-border management, also featured in the bilateral discourse during this period.

Chapter 7: Key Themes and Strategic Interests

Several key themes emerge from India-Nepal relations during 2005–2010:

1. **Democracy Promotion:** India consistently supported the restoration and consolidation of democratic institutions in Nepal.
2. **Security and Stability:** India's involvement was also driven by concerns over Maoist insurgency, cross-border militancy, and regional stability.
3. **Geopolitical Competition:** China's growing engagement with Nepal prompted India to reassert its traditional influence through diplomacy and aid.
4. **Aid and Development:** India increased its developmental footprint in Nepal through road construction, education, hydropower, and healthcare projects.
5. **Public Diplomacy:** India sought to improve its image in Nepal through media, scholarships, cultural programs, and youth engagement.

Chapter 8: India's Developmental and Strategic Assistance

During this period, India undertook numerous small development projects (SDPs) in Nepal, ranging from school construction to hospital upgrades. These were meant to build grassroots goodwill. Additionally, India committed to significant hydropower projects such as the Arun III project and trade facilitation through the construction of Integrated Check Posts (ICPs).

In the strategic domain, India provided training to Nepalese military and police forces, encouraged border cooperation, and offered scholarships to Nepalese students. Despite political frictions, India retained a multifaceted engagement model.

Chapter 9: Challenges in Bilateral Engagement

India's deep involvement in Nepalese politics came with challenges:

Perception of Interference: Many Nepalese viewed India's influence as overbearing.

Trust Deficit: The lack of transparency and mutual suspicion occasionally led to miscommunication.

Border Disputes: Unresolved issues such as the Kalapani and Susta border disputes remained contentious.

Trade Imbalance: Nepal ran a significant trade deficit with India, leading to concerns about economic dependence.

Despite these challenges, both countries recognized the need for a functional and cooperative relationship.

II. Conclusion:

The Path Ahead Beyond 2010

By 2010, India and Nepal stood at a crossroads. While democratic transition had largely been achieved, constitutional consolidation remained incomplete. India's engagement during 2005–2010 reflected a pragmatic blend of idealism and realpolitik, balancing its support for democratic forces with its strategic imperatives.

The next decade would witness further shifts in Nepal's political landscape and India's regional approach, but the groundwork laid during 2005–2010 continued to shape the trajectory of bilateral relations.

References

- [1]. Acharya, M. R. (2012). *Nepal Worldview*. Adroit Publishers.
- [2]. Adhikari, D. (2010). *The Bullet and the Ballot Box: The Story of Nepal's Maoist Revolution*. Verso Books.
- [3]. Baral, L. R. (2006). *Nepal's Politics of Referendum: A Study of Groups, Personalities, and Trends*. Sterling Publishers.
- [4]. Bhatta, C. D. (2008). Political parties and the Maoist insurgency in Nepal. *East-West Center Washington Working Papers*, (20).
- [5]. Bhurtel, B. (2009). Nepal-India Relations: Political and Economic Dimensions. *Nepal Foreign Affairs Journal*, 2(1), 45–58.
- [6]. Embassy of India, Kathmandu. (2009). *India-Nepal Relations: Backgrounder*. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. <https://www.indianembassy.org.np>
- [7]. Hachhethu, K. (2007). The Question of Inclusion and Exclusion in Nepal: Interface between State and Ethnicity. *Contributions to Nepalese Studies*, 34(1), 37–82.
- [8]. *India-Nepal Treaty of Peace and Friendship*. (1950). Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. <https://www.mea.gov.in>
- [9]. International Crisis Group. (2005). *Nepal's Royal Coup: Making a Bad Situation Worse* (Asia Report No. 91). <https://www.crisisgroup.org>
- [10]. Jha, P. (2014). *Battles of the New Republic: A Contemporary History of Nepal*. Aleph Book Company.
- [11]. Muni, S. D. (2010). *India's Foreign Policy: The Democracy Dimension*. Cambridge University Press.
- [12]. Nayak, N. (2010). *Strategic Himalayas: Republican Nepal and External Powers*. Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA).
- [13]. Ojha, H. R., & Paudel, N. S. (2009). The Maoist Insurgency in Nepal: Revolution in the Twenty-First Century. *Journal of Peace Research*, 46(3), 315–337.
- [14]. Pant, H. V. (2010). India's 'Look East' Policy and the Rising China: Implications for South Asia. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 32(2), 262–279.
- [15]. Rajan, M. S. (2007). *India and Nepal: A Study in Political Interaction*. Manohar Publishers.
- [16]. Riaz, A., & Basu, S. (2007). *Paradise Lost? State Failure in Nepal*. Lexington Books.
- [17]. Thapa, D. (2003). *Understanding the Maoist Movement of Nepal*. Martin Chautari.
- [18]. Upreti, B. R. (2006). *Armed Conflict and Peace Process in Nepal: The Maoist Insurgency, Past Negotiations, and Opportunities for Conflict Transformation*. Swiss Peace.