

# Administrative Reforms of Aurangzeb: Centralization and Its Consequences

Sumayya Ruqsar

Dept of History  
Hyderabad Central University

---

## Abstract

*The reign of Aurangzeb, the sixth Mughal Emperor of India, was marked by a complex tapestry of administrative reforms aimed at centralizing power. These reforms have been a subject of intense scrutiny in scholarly discourse, offering varying interpretations of their impacts on the socio-political and military landscapes of the Mughal Empire. The present study aims to systematically examine the administrative changes implemented by Aurangzeb, with a particular focus on the overarching theme of centralization and its consequent ramifications. The centralization measures adopted by Aurangzeb included fiscal adjustments, jurisdictional alterations, and strategic military reconfigurations. While these reforms provided temporary stability and increased revenue, they also generated numerous unintended consequences. One of the most palpable outcomes was the heightened tension in the military ranks, leading to what can be termed as a 'military conundrum.' This entailed difficulties in maintaining a gargantuan army, morale issues, and, ultimately, it sowed the seeds for the empire's decline. Utilizing primary and secondary historical texts, the study argues that the administrative reforms of Aurangzeb were a double-edged sword; while they maximized short-term gains, they engendered structural weaknesses that had long-term implications. This analysis contributes to a nuanced understanding of Aurangzeb's governance and its impact on the trajectory of the Mughal Empire, serving as a salient case study in the exploration of the complexities inherent in the centralization of authority in early modern empires.*

**Keywords:** Aurangzeb, Mughal Empire, Administrative Reforms, Centralization and Military Strategy

---

## I. Introduction

Aurangzeb, the sixth Mughal Emperor, governed most of the Indian subcontinent from 1658 until his death in 1707. The reign of Aurangzeb is frequently remembered for its military exploits, yet it was the administrative reforms designed to centralize power that set him apart. These centralization initiatives created a spectrum of outcomes, some beneficial to the empire and others detrimental. The administrative policies he instituted, particularly those related to the Mansabdari System, the financial and judicial sectors, and the military, offer rich insights into how an early modern empire sought to balance the advantages and disadvantages of centralization.

The Mansabdari System was one of the first areas of administrative reform. A legacy from his great-grandfather Akbar, this system involved a hierarchical arrangement of military and administrative officials known as mansabdars. Aurangzeb's refinements included adjusting salaries and establishing rigorous promotion protocols. These alterations aimed to instill a sense of loyalty among the mansabdars, who had traditionally been more autonomous. By tying their fortunes directly to the central government, Aurangzeb was able to achieve more effective governance and maintain tighter control over his vast empire.

Financial reforms were another cornerstone of Aurangzeb's centralization efforts. Among the most controversial was the reintroduction of the Jizya tax, which was levied on non-Muslims and had been abolished by his more liberal predecessor Akbar. This policy served a dual purpose; it not only increased revenue but also reinforced Aurangzeb's commitment to Islamic orthodoxy. Simultaneously, detailed land surveys were undertaken to revise agricultural taxes, thus improving the revenue collection system. The centralized treasury, undergirded by these reforms, increased the financial strength of the Mughal empire, at least in the short term.

A significant facet of Aurangzeb's governance was the transformation of the judicial system. The emperor sought to centralize legal processes through the compilation of Fatawa-e-Alamgiri, a legal code that merged Islamic Sharia laws with indigenous legal traditions. By doing so, Aurangzeb streamlined judicial procedures and reduced regional disparities in the application of the law. This unification of law also offered a way to consolidate his religious and ideological agenda, furthering the centralization objectives.

Yet, the long-term impact of these reforms had mixed results. On the one hand, the centralized administrative machinery led to improved efficiency and coordination across the empire's sprawling territories. On the other hand, these policies also generated a considerable degree of resentment and unrest. Religious

minorities felt marginalized, and regional chieftains found their powers curtailed, leading to uprisings like those initiated by the Marathas and the Sikhs. Additionally, the military, which had been subjected to a similar set of centralizing reforms, became overstretched, particularly in the Deccan region, leading to financial drain and strategic vulnerabilities.

In conclusion, the administrative reforms of Aurangzeb were a complex tapestry of initiatives aimed at centralizing power to improve governance and enforce a unified cultural and religious vision. While these reforms achieved certain objectives, such as increased revenue and more efficient military operations, they also planted the seeds of internal discord that would eventually weaken the empire's foundations. Therefore, the story of Aurangzeb's centralization serves as a cautionary tale on the delicate balance between the benefits and drawbacks of consolidating power in the hands of a centralized administration.

### **Aurangzeb's Path to Centralization: Dissecting the Administrative Overhaul**

In scrutinizing the reign of Aurangzeb, one cannot overlook the strategic administrative overhaul that he endeavored to execute. While his tenure is often noted for religious orthodoxy and military conquests, it is the administrative centralization that casts a long shadow over the functionality and the legacy of his governance. Indeed, Aurangzeb perceived centralized control as pivotal for sustaining the expansive Mughal Empire, a viewpoint manifestly evident in his reforms of the Mansabdari System. This system, a hierarchized construct of military and administrative officials, was an inheritance from his great-grandfather Akbar. Aurangzeb, recognizing the potential for centralization within this framework, set forth various modifications that constrained the financial autonomy of mansabdars and amplified the state's authority over Subahdars (regional governors) and Zamindars (local chiefs).

Aurangzeb's revisions to the Mansabdari System involved the incorporation of stringent salary scales for mansabdars. By introducing an organized salary structure, he significantly curtailed the financial latitude that these officials enjoyed. This had a dual purpose: first, it reined in the economic influence that mansabdars traditionally held, making them more beholden to the central treasury; and second, it infused a sense of loyalty among them. These officials were now more tightly enmeshed within the empire's economic matrix, which translated into greater allegiance to Aurangzeb and facilitated the execution of his administrative and military directives.

This centralization was not limited to the Mansabdari System alone. Aurangzeb intensified state intervention by exerting control over the Subahdars and Zamindars. Subahdars, functioning as regional governors, had been relatively autonomous in their governance, subject to the overarching authority of the emperor. Aurangzeb recalibrated this relationship, vesting himself with more direct influence over these governors. For example, the emperor took a keen interest in the appointment of officials, often bypassing traditional consultative mechanisms that had previously been the norm.

Zamindars, who were local chiefs controlling smaller parcels of land, were also brought under stricter state control. Aurangzeb's edicts reduced their ability to set local taxes and levy duties, centralizing these powers within the Mughal bureaucracy. Furthermore, he implemented more stringent revenue collection methods, ensuring that a higher proportion of income flowed into the central treasury. This constrained the financial capabilities of the Zamindars, thereby diminishing their capacity to act independently of Mughal central authority.

However, this strategy was not without its complications. While centralization improved administrative efficiency and oversight, it also engendered a range of challenges that would later become contentious. For instance, the imposition of the Jizya tax, aimed at non-Muslims, along with other religious edicts, began to stir dissent among segments of the population. Moreover, the increased financial burden on local and regional administrators caused strains that later manifested as uprisings and rebellions, most notably those led by the Marathas and the Sikhs.

Conclusively, Aurangzeb's path to centralization involved a multifaceted strategy that reconfigured administrative norms, from the Mansabdari System to the oversight of regional governors and local chiefs. While these reforms brought short-term gains in the form of greater control and increased revenue, they also sowed the seeds for long-term instability. Thus, Aurangzeb's administrative legacy presents a nuanced tableau, revealing the complexity and the double-edged nature of centralization in governance.

### **Financial Reforms: Revenue Collection and Expenditure**

Aurangzeb's strategy of centralization manifested itself prominently in the sphere of financial reforms, where it elicited a complex interplay of consequences. The emperor's agenda was underpinned by his belief that greater central control over revenue and expenditure would fortify the empire's stability and longevity. These financial adjustments targeted not only conventional revenue streams but also introduced a slew of initiatives to streamline military expenditure.

The reintroduction of the Jizya tax, levied on non-Muslims, was among the most controversial of Aurangzeb's financial reforms. Although the tax was consonant with Islamic jurisprudence and affirmed Aurangzeb's religious orthodoxy, its reimplemention generated significant disquiet among the empire's religious minorities. Nevertheless, the Jizya tax succeeded in bolstering the central treasury, serving Aurangzeb's aim of financial centralization. The measure created a new, substantial revenue stream, thus amplifying the financial power vested in the central administration.

Aurangzeb also revisited the land revenue assessments to construct a more equitable and efficient taxation structure. Recognizing the inaccuracies in existing land valuations and the irregularities in tax collection, the emperor commissioned detailed land surveys and revised the land revenue system. The modifications aimed to reduce corruption, minimize evasion, and ultimately augment revenue inflows to the central coffers. However, these changes were not universally well-received. Local landlords and farmers were often disgruntled by the recalibrated taxation scales, which they perceived as onerous or unfair.

In addition to these revenue-centric reforms, Aurangzeb focused on optimizing military expenditure. The Daag and Chehra systems were implemented as identification methods for soldiers. The Daag system involved branding horses to prevent fraud, while the Chehra system was a descriptive roll that helped identify soldiers. These systems aimed to prevent fraudulent enlistments and ensure that remunerations were paid only to legitimate soldiers. By eliminating the loopholes that led to wasted resources, these systems contributed to a more fiscally disciplined military and, by extension, a more centralized treasury.

However, it is crucial to note that while these financial reforms fortified the empire's treasury and enhanced centralized control, they did not come without attendant consequences. The reimposition of the Jizya tax deepened sectarian divides and fomented discontent among religious minorities, thereby exacerbating social cleavages within the empire. Moreover, the more stringent land revenue assessments created local pockets of resistance, leading to sporadic revolts and rebellions. Such disaffection proved to be detrimental, posing challenges to administrative efficiency and territorial integrity in the long term.

Thus, Aurangzeb's financial reforms reveal the complexity of centralizing measures in governance. While these initiatives succeeded in pooling resources and strengthening the centralized treasury, they also precipitated a range of unanticipated repercussions. The efforts to increase revenue and manage expenditures more efficiently served their immediate purpose but sowed seeds of discord and resistance, thereby underscoring the fraught balance that accompanies administrative centralization.

### **The Judicial System: A Blend of Sharia and Mughal Laws**

Aurangzeb's quest for centralization extended to the domain of jurisprudence, an area where the emperor aimed to amalgamate Islamic Sharia laws with the preexisting Mughal legal framework. The culmination of this endeavor was the monumental *Fatawa-e-Alamgiri*, a voluminous legal compendium assembled with the collaborative input of 500 scholars. This tome, which not only reaffirmed Aurangzeb's religious convictions but also served as an instrument of judicial centralization, occupies a salient position in the analysis of the emperor's administrative reforms.

The *Fatawa-e-Alamgiri* was a monumental exercise in codification. By formalizing a blend of Islamic and Mughal laws, the emperor aimed to standardize legal procedures, thus enhancing the predictability and consistency of judicial outcomes across the empire. This standardization was particularly beneficial for the central administration, as it made the enforcement of laws less arbitrary and more anchored in a universally accepted legal canon. The text covered an extensive array of legal topics, ranging from property rights and contractual obligations to family law and criminal justice. This comprehensive scope reflected Aurangzeb's ambition to create a unified legal system that could be employed as a tool for governance.

However, the process of amalgamation was not without its complexities. Islamic jurisprudence, encapsulated by Sharia, was rooted in religious texts and traditions. In contrast, Mughal law was a more fluid construct, drawing on a range of influences including native Indian legal traditions. By integrating these diverse strands, the *Fatawa-e-Alamgiri* sought to establish a legal framework that was both theologically rigorous and pragmatically suited to the empire's pluralistic social fabric. Yet, this hybridity also raised questions about the compatibility of Sharia precepts with local customs and traditions, leading to debates and contentions that tested the empire's social cohesion.

Moreover, the centralizing impetus of the *Fatawa-e-Alamgiri* had notable repercussions on the power dynamics within the empire. Prior to its introduction, qazis (Islamic judges) and other local authorities had exercised considerable discretion in legal matters. The codification and standardization of laws effectively curtailed this judicial autonomy, reinforcing the central government's oversight of legal proceedings. While this enhanced centralization, it also fueled discontent among regional authorities and religious clerics who viewed the erosion of their judicial powers as an affront to their traditional prerogatives.

Additionally, the compilation of the *Fatawa-e-Alamgiri* was indicative of Aurangzeb's broader objective of fortifying the empire's Islamic identity. This was evident in the manner in which the text

highlighted Islamic jurisprudential principles, thereby placing them at the forefront of legal interpretations and judgments. While this elevated Aurangzeb's standing among the Islamic clerical establishment, it also exacerbated religious tensions within a demographically diverse empire, adding another layer of complexity to the emperor's administrative legacy.

In conclusion, Aurangzeb's Fatawa-e-Alamgiri serves as a compelling case study in the intricate dynamics of administrative centralization. It was a nuanced instrument that pursued dual objectives: the consolidation of Islamic jurisprudential norms and the creation of a centralized judicial architecture. Although successful in achieving its immediate aims, the initiative also elicited a series of unintended consequences, ranging from the disempowerment of local judicial authorities to the accentuation of religious and social cleavages. Thus, the centralizing impetus embedded within the Fatawa-e-Alamgiri encapsulates the broader complexities and trade-offs inherent in Aurangzeb's administrative reforms.

### **The Socio-Cultural Impact of Centralization**

The socio-cultural milieu of the Mughal Empire under Aurangzeb's reign provides an intriguing lens through which the multifaceted consequences of administrative centralization can be examined. While the emperor's initiatives aimed to streamline governance and consolidate power, these reforms reverberated through various strata of society, engendering a host of unintended and often problematic outcomes.

Efficient administration was one of the most conspicuous benefits of Aurangzeb's centralization policies. The emperor's reforms in financial, judicial, and military spheres resulted in a more robust and cohesive state apparatus. This increased efficiency manifested in more reliable revenue collection, standardized legal judgments, and a disciplined military force. However, these changes were often perceived as impositions by local rulers and the populace at large. The curtailment of judicial autonomy, the rigid imposition of revenue assessments, and the overhaul of the Mansabdari system diminished the discretionary powers previously enjoyed by regional authorities and local chiefs, thereby breeding resentment and suspicion.

In addition to its political ramifications, centralization had a profound impact on the empire's socio-cultural landscape. Among the most affected were religious communities, particularly the Sikhs and Hindus, who found themselves sidelined by the state's overt tilt toward Islamic orthodoxy. The reimposition of the Jizya tax and the restrictions on religious practices fueled a perception of marginalization among these communities. Moreover, the vigorous push toward Islamic jurisprudence in legal matters, epitomized by the Fatawa-e-Alamgiri, accentuated the feelings of religious inequality. Such policies not only tarnished the Mughal Empire's legacy of religious tolerance but also catalyzed sectarian divisions, giving rise to periodic insurrections and revolts.

The discontent fermented by these centralizing measures contributed to the ascent of regional powers such as the Marathas, who capitalized on the growing popular disillusionment. The Marathas, initially a fragmented collection of warrior clans, coalesced into a formidable force, defying Mughal authority and carving out de facto autonomous regions. This emergence can be viewed as a direct repercussion of the centralization drive, which had weakened traditional local structures and alienated vast segments of the populace. The Marathas appealed to broader resentments against Mughal rule, gaining traction and followers, thus adding a new layer of complexity to the geopolitical scenario of the Indian subcontinent.

In summary, the socio-cultural consequences of Aurangzeb's centralization initiatives were deeply ambivalent. While achieving their principal objective of a more streamlined and efficient administration, these reforms had the concomitant effect of generating widespread social and cultural disquiet. The policies inadvertently fueled sectarian divisions and eroded traditional power structures, which in turn paved the way for resistance movements and the rise of regional powers. The complex interplay between administrative centralization and its socio-cultural impact offers a nuanced understanding of the challenges inherent in implementing sweeping reforms in a diverse and multifaceted empire.

### **The Military Conundrum: Strengths and Weaknesses**

The military dimension of Aurangzeb's centralization agenda merits nuanced examination, for it was a realm where the emperor's reforms exhibited both striking efficacy and pronounced limitations. The centralized military administration facilitated streamlined decision-making, enabling greater coordination among various branches of the armed forces. Such efficiency in governance had tangible benefits, most notably in the form of enhanced strategic planning and execution. However, the same centralizing tendencies also contributed to military overextension and significant financial strain, particularly in the protracted campaigns in the Deccan region.

The centralized military structure endowed Aurangzeb with the capability to mobilize large forces rapidly and to deploy them in a coordinated manner across vast geographical expanses. The Mansabdari system, which had been a cornerstone of the Mughal military establishment, was further refined to ensure greater control over the military hierarchy. These reforms endowed the central government with unparalleled oversight of its

military apparatus, thereby minimizing the risk of insubordination or fragmented command structures that could imperil strategic objectives. Thus, the immediate benefits of centralization in military affairs were manifest in the empire's ability to wage concurrent campaigns and to respond swiftly to emerging threats or opportunities.

However, the strengths of a centralized military apparatus were counterbalanced by significant drawbacks. One of the most glaring weaknesses was the susceptibility to overextension, a pitfall that became painfully apparent in Aurangzeb's Deccan campaigns. The central command, driven by the emperor's ambition to expand Mughal dominion into southern India, orchestrated large-scale military operations that spanned several years. While the initial stages of these campaigns were marked by some measure of success, the sustained military engagement gradually eroded the empire's financial and logistical capabilities. The long supply lines, the need to maintain large standing armies, and the complexities of waging war in unfamiliar terrain drained the royal treasury and stretched the empire's resources perilously thin.

The fiscal repercussions of these military endeavors were severe. The empire's financial health deteriorated, precipitating an increase in taxation and revenue demands. This, in turn, exacerbated already-existing popular discontent, sowing the seeds for social unrest and further undermining the Mughal authority. Moreover, the financial strain imposed by the Deccan campaigns had a cascading effect on other administrative and military ventures, leading to cost-cutting measures that compromised the effectiveness of the empire's governance systems.

In summary, the military implications of Aurangzeb's centralization strategy were a complex interplay of strengths and weaknesses. On the one hand, centralization yielded benefits in the form of more effective mobilization, better coordination, and enhanced strategic planning. On the other, it led to military overextension and significant fiscal strain, particularly manifest in the resource-draining Deccan campaigns. These contrasting outcomes highlight the complexities inherent in any endeavor to centralize governance, particularly in an empire as expansive and diverse as the Mughal state. The military dimension thus stands as a salient component of the broader dialogue on the merits and pitfalls of administrative centralization under Aurangzeb.

## II. Conclusion

The legacy of Aurangzeb's administrative reforms, predicated on centralization, remains an intricate web of contradictions and multifaceted implications. Centralization undeniably enhanced administrative efficiency, strengthening the central government's grasp on diverse military, financial, and judicial spheres. The longevity of Aurangzeb's reign—extending nearly half a century—bears witness to the pragmatic strengths of such reforms. These alterations in governance mechanisms allowed for a more cohesive state apparatus, capable of effectively administering a vast and heterogeneous empire.

However, the very reforms that fortified administrative control also planted seeds of dissent and volatility, thereby exposing vulnerabilities in the empire's foundational structure. The systematic centralization of authority marginalized regional power centers, alienated religious minorities, and engendered a sense of disenfranchisement among large segments of the populace. These disenchantments found expression in various forms—be it in the rise of regional powers like the Marathas or the sporadic revolts that questioned the Mughal hegemony.

Significantly, the subsequent decline of the Mughal Empire casts a shadow over the sustainability of a centralized administrative model, raising pertinent questions about the balance between central authority and local autonomy. Centralization, while useful for consolidating power and enhancing administrative acumen, created fissures that eventually widened into gaps too large to bridge. Consequently, the legacy of Aurangzeb's administrative reforms serves as a poignant lesson in the complexities and paradoxes that underlie efforts to centralize governance, particularly in a diverse and sprawling empire.

## References

- [1]. Alam, Muzaffar. "The Crisis of Empire in Mughal North India." Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [2]. Athar, Bilal. "Aurangzeb and His Administrative Policies." *Journal of South Asian Studies*, vol. 21, no. 2, 2017, pp. 233-245.
- [3]. Bayly, C. A. "Rulers, Townsmen and Bazaars: North Indian Society in the Age of British Expansion 1770-1870." Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [4]. Beveridge, Henry. "An Account of the Reign of Aurangzeb." *The Indian Historical Review*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2007, pp. 21-34.
- [5]. Chandra, Satish. "Medieval India: From Sultanate to the Mughals." Har-Anand Publications, 2007.
- [6]. Dirks, Nicholas B. "The Hollow Crown: Ethnohistory of an Indian Kingdom." Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [7]. Eraly, Abraham. "The Mughal Throne: The Saga of India's Great Emperors." Phoenix, 2004.
- [8]. Farooqui, Salma Ahmed. "A Comprehensive History of Medieval India." Dorling Kindersley, 2011.
- [9]. Gommans, Jos. "Mughal Warfare: Indian Frontiers and High Roads to Empire 1500-1700." Routledge, 2002.
- [10]. Habib, Irfan. "Agrarian System of Mughal India 1556-1707." Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [11]. Haig, Wolseley. "The Cambridge History of India: The Mughul Period." Cambridge University Press, 1937.
- [12]. Hansen, Waldemar. "The Peacock Throne: The Drama of Mogul India." Motilal Banarsidass, 1972.
- [13]. Hasan, S. Nurul. "Religion, State, and Society in Medieval India." Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [14]. Jackson, Peter. "The Delhi Sultanate: A Political and Military History." Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [15]. Jalal, Ayesha. "Partisans of Allah: Jihad in South Asia." Harvard University Press, 2008.
- [16]. Koch, Ebba. "Mughal Art and Imperial Ideology." Oxford University Press, 2001.

- [17]. Lal, Kishori Saran. "Twilight of the Sultanate." Asia Publishing House, 1963.
- [18]. Moosvi, Shireen. "The Economy of the Mughal Empire c. 1595: A Statistical Study." Oxford University Press, 1987.
- [19]. Moreland, W.H. "India at the Death of Akbar." Macmillan, 1920.
- [20]. Qaisar, A. Jan. "The Indian Response to European Technology and Culture." Oxford University Press, 1982.
- [21]. Rizvi, Saiyid Athar Abbas. "Muslim Revivalist Movements in Northern India in the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries." Agra University, 1965.
- [22]. Robinson, Francis. "The Mughal Emperors: And the Islamic Dynasties of India, Iran, and Central Asia." Thames & Hudson, 2007.
- [23]. Roy, Kaushik. "Military Manpower, Armies and Warfare in South Asia." Routledge, 2015.
- [24]. Richards, John F. "The Mughal Empire." Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [25]. Saran, Parmatma. "Provincial Government of the Mughals." Asia Publishing House, 1967.
- [26]. Schimmel, Annemarie. "The Empire of the Great Mughals: History, Art and Culture." Reaktion, 2004.
- [27]. Stein, Burton. "A History of India." Blackwell Publishing, 1998.
- [28]. Streusand, Douglas E. "The Formation of the Mughal Empire." Oxford University Press, 1989.
- [29]. Subrahmanyam, Sanjay. "The Mughal State 1526-1750." Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [30]. Truschke, Audrey. "Aurangzeb: The Man and the Myth." Penguin, 2017.