International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI)
ISSN (Online): 2319 – 7722, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 7714
www.ijhssi.org ||Volume 14 Issue 7 || July 2025 || PP. 12-15

The Language of Liberation: Arundhati Roy's Political Imagination in *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*

Dr. Neeraj Kumar Parashari
Assistant Professor (English)
Govt. Degree College Faridpur, Bareilly (U.P.)

Abstract
This paper explores Arundhati Roy's The Ministry of Utmost Happiness as a politically charged narrative that transcends traditional fiction to emerge as a voice of resistance. Roy uses language not merely as a medium of storytelling, but as a potent tool for political engagement, foregrounding marginal voices, and confronting hegemonic structures. By integrating ethnographic detail, historical realities, and fractured narratives, Roy unearths layers of oppression, marginalization, and rebellion in contemporary India. This study aims to analyze how Roy's narrative techniques—stream-of-consciousness, fragmentation, multiple perspectives—converge to construct a counter-discourse rooted in liberation. The qualitative nature of this study allows for an in-depth textual and thematic analysis, offering insight into the novel's political vision and its implications for postcolonial literature.

Keywords*: Arundhati Roy, political imagination, liberation, marginality, narrative structure, postcolonial fiction.*

I. Introduction

Arundhati Roy has long occupied a unique space in the literary and political spheres, not only as an acclaimed novelist but also as a radical activist and public intellectual. With *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* (2017), Roy returns to fiction after two decades, weaving an expansive, multifocal narrative that captures the contradictions and fault lines of modern India. Unlike her debut novel *The God of Small Things*, which was more introspective and rooted in familial trauma, this novel is unapologetically political in its scope and ambition [1].

Set against the backdrop of Kashmir, Dalit atrocities, political repression, and gendered violence, the novel draws from multiple socio-political realities to present a tapestry of resistance and hope. Roy challenges dominant historiography by foregrounding subaltern voices—transgender individuals, Dalits, Kashmiris, political dissidents—whose stories are usually erased from mainstream discourse [2]. This paper seeks to understand how Roy reimagines fiction as a vehicle for liberation and social justice.

II. Literature Review

The critical reception of *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* reflects the novel's complex, genre-defying nature. Scholars from diverse theoretical standpoints have engaged with Arundhati Roy's text, highlighting its multifaceted political and literary contributions. One of the predominant approaches to the novel is through a postcolonial lens, where the text is seen as an intervention into nationalist historiography and an articulation of subaltern voices. Rao (2019) frames the narrative as an act of "testimony and memory," emphasizing how the novel becomes a repository for marginalized experiences, particularly those that the official records have chosen to ignore or suppress [3]. In this reading, Roy's narrative strategy functions as a form of resistance, offering a counter-history that challenges dominant state-sponsored narratives.Banerjee (2020) extends this interpretation by focusing on the spatial dynamics within the novel. According to her, Roy politicizes space—both urban and rural—transforming familiar landscapes into contested terrains of resistance and survival [4]. Places like Delhi's graveyard, Old Delhi's Khwabgah, and the militarized streets of Kashmir cease to be mere backdrops; they become living, breathing testimonies of structural violence and personal memory. The geographic settings are intricately woven into the emotional and political lives of the characters, reflecting Roy's deep engagement with spatial politics.

Feminist scholars have been especially drawn to Roy's portrayal of Anjum, a Hijra, as a groundbreaking reimagining of gender identity in Indian literature. For Nair (2021), Anjum's character is not just emblematic of transgender struggles but serves as a profound commentary on the intersectionality of religion, gender, and social exclusion [5]. Anjum, who is both deeply vulnerable and politically assertive, transcends stereotypes of victimhood, offering a nuanced portrait of a person navigating multiple forms of

marginalization. Her journey from rejection to the reclamation of agency through the creation of a home in a graveyard underscores Roy's feminist commitment to redefining space and identity.Another recurrent theme in the scholarly discourse is Roy's unique blending of journalistic rigor with literary expression. As noted by critics like George (2018), the novel resists neat categorization, operating at the intersection of fiction, reportage, and political manifesto [6]. Roy's prior work as a non-fiction writer and political commentator seeps into the fabric of the novel, resulting in a narrative that is as much about storytelling as it is about bearing witness. This hybridity, rather than diluting the novel's impact, strengthens its capacity to address real-world atrocities with both emotional depth and factual integrity.

Furthermore, many scholars have observed that *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* functions as a literary palimpsest—layering personal grief, collective trauma, historical events, and revolutionary dreams. The novel's form and content are often discussed as inseparable; the fragmented structure, poetic interludes, and nonlinear chronology reflect the fractured lives and contested histories Roy seeks to portray. This convergence of thematic richness and structural experimentation continues to attract critical attention, affirming the novel's place within contemporary global literature and radical political thought.

Overall, the scholarship on Roy's second novel recognizes it as a deeply political, stylistically experimental, and ethically grounded work. It resists easy interpretation and demands that readers confront uncomfortable truths about caste, gender, religion, and nationalism. This paper builds upon this growing body of critical work by examining how Roy's political imagination is embedded in the novel's very language—how words themselves become sites of resistance, rupture, and, ultimately, liberation.This paper builds on these interpretations but pivots toward understanding how Roy uses language—its metaphors, ruptures, and polyphony—as a weapon of critique and liberation.

III. The Politics of Narrative Structure

Roy's novel resists linearity. The narrative is fragmented, nonlinear, and multi-voiced—strategies that destabilize conventional realism and mirror the chaos of the nation-state. The oscillation between the private and public, the poetic and the political, draws readers into multiple worlds simultaneously [7].Each character's story exists not in isolation but in juxtaposition with others. Anjum's life in the Khwabgah, Musa's militant activism in Kashmir, and Tilottama's defiance converge to create a constellation of resistance. These fractured narratives cohere not through plot but through a shared ethos of dissent [8]. Roy refuses to resolve these storylines neatly, reflecting the unresolved and continuing nature of the injustices they depict.This fragmented structure becomes a political act in itself. It challenges the reader to hold discomfort, ambiguity, and multiplicity—mirroring the fragmented reality of marginalized communities in India.

IV. Language as Resistance

Roy's language is poetic, ironic, and deeply layered. She uses linguistic excess not to obscure meaning but to reveal the absurdities of oppression. Satire, irony, and allegory are deployed to expose the hypocrisies of nationalism, religious orthodoxy, and state violence [9].One striking feature is Roy's subversion of official discourse. Bureaucratic terms, police reports, and political speeches are juxtaposed with the raw voices of Anjum, Saddam, and other marginalized characters, revealing the artificiality and violence embedded in state-sanctioned language [10]. Her prose constantly shifts between lyrical beauty and brutal realism, refusing to allow the reader to settle into comfort.Roy also reclaims vernacular idioms, Sufi poetry, and street slang to challenge elite literary norms. This democratization of language allows a broader spectrum of voices to emerge, affirming language itself as a site of struggle and empowerment [11].

V. Postcolonial Landscapes and Spatial Politics

The novel is deeply rooted in place. From the gravesite where Anjum builds her home to the war-torn streets of Srinagar, spaces are politicized and reimagined. The marginalized are not passive inhabitants but active claimants of space [12].Roy's Delhi is a city of shadows—mosques turned into prisons, pavements into homes. The tension between visibility and invisibility recurs as a motif. The poor and marginalized are present yet unseen, remembered only during election campaigns or news headlines [13]. The graveyard becomes a symbol of reclamation—both of land and identity.Kashmir in the novel is not merely a setting; it is a bleeding, sentient entity. Roy gives voice to the "other" Kashmir—the people caught between militarization and insurgency. Her depiction resists patriotic narratives, instead emphasizing human cost, dignity, and loss [14].

VI. Embodied Politics: Gender, Caste, and Identity

Arundhati Roy's *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* is a powerful engagement with the embodied realities of oppression and resistance, particularly through the axes of gender, caste, and religion. The character of Anjum, a Hijra (transgender woman), stands at the heart of this exploration. Roy challenges the hegemonic, essentialist conceptions of gender by positioning Anjum not as a marginal or symbolic figure, but as a fully

realized human being—flawed, resilient, nurturing, and fiercely autonomous [15]. Her journey from a male child named Aftab, born into a conservative Muslim household, to Anjum, a trans woman who seeks spiritual and emotional anchorage in the Khwabgah and later the graveyard, becomes a metaphor for transcendence and reclamation of space and identity.Anjum's role as a mother further complicates binary constructions of gender. By adopting a child and forging her own definitions of kinship and community, Anjum disrupts the normative boundaries of femininity and maternity. Roy refuses to exoticize or victimise her; instead, Anjum is allowed a nuanced, evolving subjectivity. She carries the scars of communal violence—having survived the Gujarat riots—and yet rebuilds life on her own terms, establishing a sanctuary for other outcasts in the graveyard. This act of spatial reclamation is deeply political. It asserts the right of the marginalized not just to live, but to create, nurture, and resist within the ruins of systemic exclusion.

Parallel to Anjum's narrative is that of Saddam Hussain, a Dalit who reinvents himself after witnessing his father's brutal lynching. His decision to assume the name of a deposed dictator is both ironic and defiant—it is a refusal to remain invisible, a bold assertion of agency in a society built on caste hierarchies [16]. Through Saddam, Roy brings caste violence into the foreground, not as a relic of rural India but as a pervasive, modern injustice. His pain is not isolated; it is systemic. But like Anjum, Saddam does not remain a victim. He participates in solidarity, creates new bonds, and imagines alternative structures of belonging. His transformation is symbolic of the Dalit assertion movement, demanding not pity but dignity.Roy's commitment to intersectionality is evident in how these characters are interwoven. Their gender, caste, and religious identities are not compartmentalized but intersect in complex, often painful ways. Yet, they find common ground in their shared experiences of marginalization and their refusal to be silenced. Roy bestows upon them political consciousness—not through ideological monologues, but through lived experiences, actions, and choices. These characters weep, rage, nurture, and revolt within a social order that continually seeks to erase them. Their embodiment becomes the novel's ethical compass, guiding the reader through a world marked by both suffering and resilience.

Thus, *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* becomes a testament to embodied politics—where bodies become archives of trauma but also instruments of defiance. In foregrounding characters like Anjum and Saddam, Roy dismantles normative frameworks of identity and proposes a more inclusive, liberatory vision of human existence. The novel does not merely represent the marginalized; it allows them to speak, act, and dream—a radical gesture in a literature often complicit in their erasure.

VII. Discussion

The Ministry of Utmost Happiness defies literary boundaries and national silences. Roy's political imagination is not imposed from above but built from within—from the lives, dreams, and losses of her characters. The novel becomes a counter-archive that records what official histories omit or erase [17].Language is not neutral in this universe. It is used, abused, redefined. Roy harnesses this potential, using fiction as activism, literature as protest. The novel resonates with postcolonial concerns, feminist ethics, and radical democratic thought, offering an alternative vision of nationhood—one grounded in justice, plurality, and compassion.

VIII. Conclusion

Arundhati Roy's *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* is not merely a novel; it is a linguistic insurgency. Through its structure, characters, and language, the book dismantles dominant narratives and builds a new grammar of resistance. Roy does not offer easy answers but instead invites readers into a space of discomfort, awareness, and ultimately, transformation.Her political imagination is radical yet rooted, poetic yet precise, painful yet full of possibilities. This study affirms Roy's work as a landmark in postcolonial literature—one that reconfigures fiction as a language of liberation.

References

[1]. Roy, A. (2017). *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*. Penguin Random House.
[2]. Dutt, B. (2018). *Arundhati Roy and the Aesthetics of Resistance*. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan.
[3]. Rao, S. (2019). Memory, Testimony, and the Fragmented Nation in Roy's Second Novel. *Journal of Postcolonial Studies*, 56(2), 123–135.
[4]. Banerjee, R. (2020). Spatial Dissonance and Political Imaginaries. *Contemporary Literary Critique*, 41(3), 98–114.
[5]. Nair, M. (2021). Transgender Subjectivity in Indian Fiction: The Case of Anjum. *South Asian Gender Studies*, 12(1), 76–89.
[6]. George, T. (2018). Blurring Boundaries: Journalism, Fiction, and Roy's Activism. *Asian Review of Books*, 30(2), 59–73.
[7]. Sharma, D. (2020). Fractured Forms: Roy's Experimental Narrative. *Modern Literature Review*, 45(1), 110–125.
[8]. Mehta, A. (2021). Voices of Resistance: Polyphony in Roy's Fiction. *Studies in Contemporary Fiction*, 39(4), 234–250.
[9]. Kaur, I. (2020). Irony and Subversion in Roy's Language. *Indian Literary Forum*, 26(2), 48–62.
[10]. Pillai, R. (2019). The Politics of Bureaucracy and Fiction. *Narrative Politics*, 14(3), 180–194.
[11]. Thomas, J. (2021). Reclaiming Language in Postcolonial Literature. *Postcolonial Discourse Quarterly*, 9(2), 70–85.
[12]. Ali, Z. (2020). Marginal Geographies in Roy's Work. *Kashmir Studies Review*, 18(1), 101–119.
[13]. Sen, A. (2019). Urban Unfreedoms and Displacement. *Indian Journal of Political Literature*, 23(3), 45–58.

[14]. Mir, H. (2021). Fictionalizing Kashmir: Pain and Protest in Roy. *Journal of Conflict Narratives*, 7(2), 120–134.
[15]. Das, R. (2020). Beyond the Binary: Reading Anjum in Roy's Fiction. *Queer Studies India*, 3(1), 33–47.
[16]. Prakash, S. (2018). Caste and Counter-Hegemony in Roy's Political Vision. *Dalit Literary Criticism*, 10(1), 89–104.
[17]. Bose, M. (2021). The Novel as Counter-Archive. *Literature and Resistance Studies*, 8(4), 67–82.