International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI)
ISSN (Online): 2319 – 7722, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 7714
www.ijhssi.org ||Volume 14 Issue 12 || December 2025 || PP. 16-21

Perceptions, Policies, and Ecological Futures: A Stakeholder-Based Study on Natural Resource Management and Environmental Resilience in Agri-Business Contexts

Karthik Medi
Department of sociology, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India- 500007.

Abstract
Natural resources-land, water, minerals, and biodiversity-are vital to ecological balance and agricultural productivity. However, their unsustainable exploitation has led to widespread degradation, threatening both livelihoods and long-term environmental resilience. In agri-business contexts, where economic imperatives often overshadow ecological concerns, the urgency to adopt sustainable resource management practices is paramount. This study investigates stakeholder perceptions of environmental degradation, climate change, and governance, aiming to bridge the gap between public awareness and institutional action. The research engaged 250 respondents-150 from the general public and 100 institutional representatives across agriculture, mining, irrigation, and local governance. Using semi-structured interviews and structured questionnaires, the study captured both experiential insights and policy-oriented views. Data analysis through SPSS included regression models, ANOVA, and chi-square tests, revealing significant differences in perception and readiness. Notably, 65.4% observed climate change impacts, and 82% of intensive farmers reported soil degradation due to synthetic fertilizers. Institutional stakeholders acknowledged water scarcity, land degradation, and policy gaps, with only 38% reporting adequate frameworks for resilience. The findings highlight the socio-economic dimensions of ecological decline, especially for marginalized communities. They underscore the need for participatory governance, environmental education, and integration of green technologies. This research contributes to the discourse on sustainable agri-business by aligning stakeholder voices with national policy goals, including India's Resource Efficiency Policy and the Sustainable Development Goals. It calls for a transition from extractive models to regenerative practices, supported by inclusive strategies and community-led monitoring

Keywords: *Environmental Resilience; Natural Resource Management; Stakeholder Perception; Sustainable Agri-Business; Climate Change Adaptation*

Date of Submission: 04-12-2025 Date of acceptance: 15-12-2025

I. Introduction

Natural resources-land, water, minerals, and biodiversity-are the backbone of agriculture and ecological stability. They sustain food production, regulate climate, and support rural livelihoods. However, decades of overuse and mismanagement have led to serious environmental degradation (1). Forests are shrinking, water tables are falling, soils are losing fertility, and biodiversity is under threat (2). These issues are deeply tied to how agriculture is practiced and how agri-business operates. In the agri-business sector, the drive for higher yields and profits often overshadows environmental concerns (3). Intensive farming, chemical inputs, and land conversion may offer short-term gains but compromise long-term sustainability (4). As natural resources become increasingly fragile, the challenge is clear, how can agri-business grow without undermining the very environment it depends on?

This study addresses that question by examining the perceptions of key stakeholders-farmers, business owners, government officials, environmental activists, and the general public. It explores how these groups understand environmental degradation, their awareness of climate change, and their willingness to engage in shaping sustainable policies. Their views are essential, as they influence decisions and behaviors that directly impact resource management (5). Understanding stakeholder perceptions is not just theoretical-it is vital for practical change. When communities recognize ecological risks and feel empowered, they become active participants in solutions (6). But when there is confusion or lack of support, conservation efforts falter. This research aims to uncover these dynamics and identify gaps in knowledge, practice, and policy.

A major concern is the disconnect between public awareness and institutional action. Farmers may understand the harm caused by excessive pesticide use, yet market pressures and lack of alternatives keep them reliant on harmful practices (7). Similarly, government schemes may promote conservation, but without proper implementation or community involvement, they remain ineffective (8). Bridging this gap requires inclusive policies that reflect local realities and stakeholder needs. Environmental resilience is another key theme. In agriculture, resilience means building systems that can withstand shocks-droughts, floods, market disruptions, or policy changes (9). It involves diversifying crops, conserving water, protecting biodiversity, and strengthening local institutions. It also means equipping farmers and rural communities to adapt confidently to changing conditions.

The study emphasizes ecological stewardship as a guiding principle for agri-business development. Stewardship involves caring for resources, respecting their limits, and ensuring their availability for future generations. Practical strategies include shifting to organic methods, adopting water-saving technologies, restoring degraded lands, and promoting agroforestry (10). These approaches are both environmentally sound and economically viable when supported by appropriate policies and incentives.

In today's competitive and climate-sensitive world, sustainable resource management is essential. Agri-business must evolve by aligning its goals with ecological realities (11). This requires a shift from exploitation to regeneration, and from short-term profit to long-term value. Collaboration across sectors-farmers, businesses, governments, and civil society-is key. By offering a stakeholder-based analysis, this research contributes to shaping inclusive, context-sensitive strategies for sustainable agri-business. It highlights the need to listen, learn, and act-balancing productivity with preservation, and commerce with care.

Objective and Importance of the Study

The central aim of this study is to explore how various stakeholders-ranging from the general public to institutional representatives-perceive the challenges and possibilities surrounding natural resource management in agri-business contexts. Specifically, it investigates how individuals interpret environmental degradation, their awareness of climate change impacts, and their readiness to participate in shaping proactive policies. This inquiry is not limited to surface-level opinions; it delves into the deeper attitudes, experiences, and expectations that influence behavior and decision-making. By capturing these perspectives, the study seeks to identify gaps in current governance structures and propose actionable strategies that promote sustainability and resilience. The importance of this research lies in its timely relevance. As ecological pressures mount and agri-businesses face increasing scrutiny (12), understanding stakeholder perceptions becomes crucial for designing effective interventions (13). The study contributes to bridging the disconnect between public concern and institutional response, offering a roadmap for inclusive and ecologically grounded policy formation. It emphasizes that sustainable agri-business is not merely a technical challenge but a social and political one, requiring collaboration across sectors and communities (14). In doing so, the research highlights the role of ecological stewardship as a guiding principle for future development, where economic growth is harmonized with environmental care (15). This approach is especially vital in regions where agriculture is both a livelihood and a cultural identity, and where resource depletion threatens long-term viability. By foregrounding stakeholder voices, the study ensures that policy recommendations are rooted in lived realities, not abstract models. Ultimately, it aims to foster a more balanced relationship between nature and commerce-one that supports productivity without compromising the planet's future.

II. Material and Methods

To capture the complex and varied perspectives on natural resource management and environmental resilience, a descriptive research design was adopted. This approach allowed for a detailed examination of stakeholder views across different sectors. The study engaged a total of 250 respondents, comprising 150 individuals from the general public and 100 institutional representatives drawn equally from agriculture, mining, irrigation, and local governance. Each institutional sector contributed 25 participants, ensuring balanced representation. Data collection was tailored to the nature of each group: semi-structured interview schedules were used for the general public, encouraging open-ended responses and contextual depth, while structured questionnaires were administered to institutional stakeholders to gather focused insights on policy orientation, strategic priorities, and perceived challenges. This dual method ensured a blend of qualitative richness and quantitative precision. The data were analyzed using SPSS software, employing regression models to explore predictive relationships between awareness and policy readiness, ANOVA to identify significant differences in perception across sectors, and chi-square tests to examine associations between demographic factors and environmental attitudes. Additionally, thematic coding of qualitative responses was conducted to uncover recurring patterns related to ecological concern, climate change experiences, and policy expectations. This layered analysis provided a comprehensive understanding of how different groups interpret and respond to environmental issues in agri-business settings. The methodology was designed not only to measure attitudes but

also to reveal the underlying narratives that shape stakeholder engagement. By integrating statistical rigor with narrative depth, the study offers a robust foundation for policy recommendations that are both evidence-based and socially grounded.

III. Results and Interpretation

The findings of this study reveal a multifaceted landscape of environmental awareness, institutional readiness, and stakeholder engagement in the context of natural resource management and agri-business sustainability. Through a combination of qualitative insights and quantitative analysis, the data highlights the urgency of ecological stewardship and the complexity of aligning public perception with policy action.

Among the general public, 60% of respondents demonstrated a clear understanding of environmental degradation. This awareness was reflected in their ability to identify symptoms such as soil erosion, water pollution, deforestation, and declining biodiversity. Many participants cited personal experiences with deteriorating soil quality and erratic weather patterns, linking these changes to unsustainable agricultural practices (16). This perception aligns with national data from the Indian Meteorological Department (2023), which reported a 17% increase in extreme weather events over the past decade. These events include unseasonal rainfall, prolonged droughts, and heatwaves-all of which have direct implications for agricultural productivity and rural livelihoods. In contrast, 76% of institutional authorities—including representatives from agriculture, mining, irrigation, and local governance—expressed a belief that environmental degradation is manageable within the current framework, provided that appropriate mitigative policies are adopted. This optimism reflects a policy-oriented mindset, where technical solutions and regulatory interventions are seen as viable pathways to resilience (17). However, this perception also reveals a gap between institutional confidence and grassroots concern. While officials emphasize strategic planning, many farmers and community members feel overwhelmed by the pace and scale of ecological change.

The perception of climate change was notably strong across all stakeholder groups. Approximately 65.4% of respondents reported observable signs of climate disruption, including erratic rainfall, rising temperatures, and declining soil fertility. These observations are consistent with recent studies that highlight the vulnerability of Indian agriculture to climate variability. For instance, a 2025 study by Srivastava (IJSSER) notes that rising temperatures and altered monsoon patterns are already reducing yields of key crops such as wheat and rice, while increasing the prevalence of pests and diseases. Farmers in the study expressed concern over the unpredictability of seasons, which complicates sowing schedules and reduces the reliability of traditional farming calendars. Soil management emerged as a critical issue, particularly among farmers practicing intensive agriculture (18). A striking 82% of these farmers acknowledged that the use of synthetic fertilizers is damaging soil health, yet they felt there were no viable alternatives. This sense of helplessness is compounded by market pressures and limited access to organic inputs. On the other hand, 78% of extension officials reported ongoing efforts to educate farmers about integrated farming methods, including composting, crop rotation, and the use of biofertilizers. These officials emphasized the importance of balancing productivity with ecological care, though they also noted challenges in scaling these practices due to resource constraints and resistance to change.

Irrigation problems were also widely reported. Many respondents highlighted issues such as declining groundwater levels, inefficient canal systems, and unequal access to water resources. These concerns were particularly acute in regions affected by mining activities, where water contamination and land degradation have disrupted traditional farming systems. Institutional stakeholders acknowledged these challenges but pointed to infrastructural projects and policy reforms aimed at improving water management. However, the effectiveness of these interventions remains uneven, with many farmers still relying on outdated or informal irrigation methods. Agri-business challenges were articulated from both farmer and stakeholder perspectives (19). Farmers spoke of rising input costs, market volatility, and the pressure to adopt high-yield varieties that often require intensive chemical use. Institutional representatives, meanwhile, discussed the need for policy coherence, better supply chain integration, and investment in climate-resilient technologies. Both groups agreed on the importance of sustainability but differed in their approaches and priorities.

To analyze these perceptions statistically, an ANOVA test was conducted to examine mean differences across stakeholder groups. The results revealed a significant difference in environmental awareness between the general public, farmers, and institutional representatives, with a mean score variance of 0.862. This suggests that while all groups recognize the importance of natural resource management, their depth of understanding and sense of urgency vary considerably. Farmers, for instance, scored higher on experiential awareness, while officials scored higher on strategic optimism. A regression model was employed to predict future trends based on current stakeholder perceptions. The model indicated that if present practices persist without significant intervention, environmental degradation could increase by an additional 17% over the next decade. This projection is based on correlations between awareness levels, policy readiness, and ecological indicators. The model also highlighted the predictive strength of stakeholder engagement: communities with higher levels of

awareness and participation were more likely to support sustainable practices and demand policy reform. The chi-square test was used to examine associations between demographic variables and environmental attitudes. The test yielded a chi-square value of 23,437 with a p-value of 0.556, suggesting a moderate association between stakeholder identity and perception of environmental risk. While the null hypothesis-that there is no relationship between current practices and environmental degradation-was statistically challenged, the data indicates that perception alone is not sufficient to drive change. Structural barriers, economic constraints, and policy gaps must also be addressed.

Further thematic analysis of qualitative responses revealed recurring concerns about ecological imbalance. Many respondents spoke of a "disconnect" between nature and commerce, where economic imperatives often override environmental considerations. This sentiment was especially strong among younger farmers and community activists, who called for more inclusive and participatory governance. Institutional stakeholders acknowledged these concerns but emphasized the need for gradual reform and capacity building. The study also uncovered regional variations in perception. Respondents from mining-affected areas were more likely to report severe environmental degradation, while those from irrigated zones focused on water scarcity and soil salinity. These differences underscore the importance of context-specific interventions and the need for localized policy frameworks.

In terms of policy expectations, stakeholders expressed a desire for greater transparency, accountability, and community involvement. Farmers called for subsidies on organic inputs, better access to climate-resilient seeds, and training programs on sustainable practices (20). Institutional representatives advocated for integrated resource management, cross-sectoral coordination, and investment in green infrastructure. Both groups emphasized the importance of education and awareness as foundational elements of ecological resilience. The findings of this study contribute to a growing body of literature that highlights the intersection of perception, policy, and ecological futures. The climate change poses severe challenges to Indian agriculture, particularly in terms of soil degradation, water scarcity, and reduced crop productivity (21). Their research supports the view that adaptation strategies—such as biochar application, advanced water management, and crop diversification-are essential for building resilience (22).

IV. Discussion

The results of this study reveal a layered and often contrasting set of perceptions regarding environmental degradation and natural resource management in agri-business contexts. The general public, particularly farmers, demonstrated a high level of experiential awareness-60% clearly recognized the signs of environmental decline, including soil erosion, water pollution, and biodiversity loss. This awareness is grounded in lived experience, as farmers directly observe the consequences of intensive agricultural practices (23). However, their sense of helplessness is striking: 82% of intensive farmers reported that they see no viable alternative to synthetic fertilizers, despite acknowledging their harmful effects. This points to a critical gap—not in awareness, but in access to sustainable options and institutional support.

Institutional stakeholders, on the other hand, showed a more optimistic stance. While 76% acknowledged environmental degradation, they believed it could be managed through policy interventions. Extension officials, for example, reported that 78% of their efforts are focused on educating farmers about integrated farming techniques. This suggests that institutional actors are aware of the problem and are attempting to address it, but the disconnect between policy and practice remains. Farmers are not always able to implement these recommendations due to financial constraints, lack of infrastructure, or market pressures (24).

Climate change perceptions were consistent across groups, with 65.4% of respondents reporting observable shifts in rainfall patterns, temperature, and soil fertility. These findings align with national data from the Indian Meteorological Department (2023), which recorded a 17% rise in extreme weather events over the past decade. The regression model used in this study predicts that without significant intervention, environmental degradation could increase by another 17% in the coming decade. This projection highlights the urgency of adopting mitigation strategies that are both scalable and context-sensitive.

Statistical analysis further revealed significant differences in perception across stakeholder groups. The ANOVA test showed a mean score variance of 0.862, indicating that while all groups recognize environmental risks, their interpretations and responses differ. The chi-square test (value: 23,437; p-value: 0.556) suggests a moderate association between stakeholder identity and environmental attitudes, challenging the null hypothesis and reinforcing the need for targeted interventions.

Mitigation strategies must address both technical and social dimensions (25). On the technical side, promoting integrated farming systems, organic inputs, and water-efficient irrigation methods can reduce ecological stress (26). These practices should be supported by subsidies, training programs, and access to markets that reward sustainable produce. On the social side, building trust between institutions and communities is essential. Participatory governance, where farmers are involved in policy design and implementation, can enhance compliance and innovation.

Furthermore, localized solutions must be prioritized. Regions affected by mining require rehabilitation efforts and stricter environmental regulation (27). Irrigated zones need investment in water-saving technologies and equitable distribution systems. Climate-resilient crop varieties and agroforestry models can offer long-term stability (28). The study highlights a pressing need for integrated, inclusive, and adaptive approaches to natural resource management in agri-business. Bridging the gap between perception and policy, and between awareness and action, is key to building ecological resilience and securing agricultural futures.

V. Conclusion

This study underscores the urgent need for sustainable natural resource management in agri-business, highlighting the diverse perceptions of stakeholders and the gaps between awareness and action. While the general public-especially farmers-express deep concern over environmental degradation and climate change, institutional stakeholders maintain cautious optimism, believing in the potential of policy-driven solutions. Statistical analysis confirms significant differences in perception and reveals predictive risks if current practices persist. The findings emphasize that ecological resilience cannot be achieved through technical fixes alone; it requires inclusive governance, localized interventions, and a shared commitment to stewardship. By integrating experiential insights with empirical data, this research offers a roadmap for balancing agricultural productivity with environmental care. Moving forward, empowering communities, reforming policies, and fostering collaboration across sectors will be essential to securing ecological futures and ensuring that agri-business evolves within a sustainable and resilient framework.

References

[1]. Xiong Y, Guo H, Nor DDMM, Song A, Dai L. Mineral resources depletion, environmental degradation, and exploitation of natural resources: COVID-19 aftereffects. Resources Policy [Internet]. 2023 Aug 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];85:103907. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301420723006189?casa_token=H-P-3K0lY54AAAAA:9ZiNLS7RvXokOxmXhc9eVVh6lbyfd4KdPQST-D7Wkze1aZpczsFRf2dizOeSoYU99aujZCcSWQ

[2]. Gavrilescu M. Water, Soil, and Plants Interactions in a Threatened Environment.Water 2021, Vol 13, Page 2746 [Internet]. 2021 Oct 3 [cited 2025 Sep 29];13(19):2746. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/13/19/2746/htm

[3]. Sahore N, Awan U, Chotia V, Agarwal V. Exploring the Potential and Limits of Green and Sustainable Agribusiness Practices as a Driver of Environmental Management. Bus Strategy Environ [Internet]. 2025 Sep 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];34(6):6885–905. Available from: /doi/pdf/10.1002/bse.4320

[4]. Snapp S, Pound B. Farming Systems for Sustainable Intensification. Agricultural Systems: Agroecology and Rural Innovation for Development: Second Edition [Internet]. 2017 Jan 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];93–122. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/B9780128020708000049?casa_token=L-jslg3TvnYAAAAA:mcHf9REoJtwZme7fWyGskxav_TGbWk9ImjROsCyVmI2Y7WnKvc6P0ZqqQ5scJLLfC2oA8shR7Q

[5]. Liu T, Bruins RJF, Heberling MT. Factors Influencing Farmers' Adoption of Best Management Practices: A Review and Synthesis. Sustainability 2018, Vol 10, Page 432 [Internet]. 2018 Feb 7 [cited 2025 Sep 29];10(2):432. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/2/432/htm

[6]. Dushkova D, Ivlieva O. Empowering Communities to Act for a Change: A Review of the Community Empowerment Programs towards Sustainability and Resilience. Sustainability (Switzerland) [Internet]. 2024 Oct 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];16(19):8700. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/19/8700/htm

[7]. Mengistie BT, Mol APJ, Oosterveer P. Pesticide use practices among smallholder vegetable farmers in Ethiopian Central Rift Valley. Environ Dev Sustain [Internet]. 2017 Feb 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];19(1):301–24. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-015-9728-9

[8]. Nilsson D, Baxter G, Butler JRA, McAlpine CA. How do community-based conservation programs in developing countries change human behaviour? A realist synthesis. Biol Conserv [Internet]. 2016 Aug 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];200:93–103. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006320716302014?casa_token=5W1h5Km4734AAAAA:7yirc2N9P2NPUI1GCHB7qj7Y_cSmcyBheVNicPQlvq83AspthdpF2mfqdZgb2qnKnfxA47cPmQ

[9]. Urruty N, Tailliez-Lefebvre D, Huyghe C. Stability, robustness, vulnerability and resilience of agricultural systems. A review. Agron Sustain Dev [Internet]. 2016 Mar 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];36(1):1–15. Available from: https://hal.science/hal-01492386

[10]. Birla D, Yadav SL, Gajanand, Patel RA, Sanodiya P. Agronomic Techniques to Improve Environmental Restoration and Climatic Resilience in the Agroforestry System. Agroforestry Solutions for Climate Change and Environmental Restoration [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];437–62. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-97-5004-7_20

[11]. Markman G, Gianiodis P, Espina M, Meek WR, Ward A, Steckler E, et al. World Scientific Encyclopedia of Business Sustainability, Ethics and Entrepreneurship. Andrew Ward (The University of Pennsylvania, USA) and Erica Steckler (University of Massachusetts Lowell, USA) [Internet]. 2022 Feb [cited 2025 Sep 29];2. Available from: /doi/pdf/10.1142/12405-vol2?download=true

[12]. Marsden T. Sustainable Agri-Food Transformations and the Rise of Disruptive Governance [Internet]. Vol. 26, Emerald Publishing Limited. Emerald Publishing Limited; 2022 [cited 2025 Sep 29]. 23–44 p. Available from: https://www.emerald.com/books/edited-volume/10581/chapter/80151889/Sustainable-Agri-Food-Transformations-and-the-Rise

[13]. Byrne M. Increasing the impact of behavior change intervention research: Is there a role for stakeholder engagement? Health Psychology. 2019 Apr 1;38(4):290–6.

[14]. Chamberlain W, Anseeuw W. Inclusive businesses in agriculture: Defining the concept and its complex and evolving partnership structures in the field. Land use policy [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];83:308–22. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264837718305477?casa_token=dO2wS9d6eXwAAAAA:Ao24yxiB5s_Tu9HxUUFADPQyq_kfH4zf7cS4SsCPcRHHJ1M1j-hJeqHoj6t43h53wwUEnEnd8w

[15]. Cao S, Ma Z, Liu Z, Guo J, Yuan W. Achieving Harmony between the Economy and the Environment. Habitat Int [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];131:102733. Available from:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0197397522002302?casa_token=URxLWrpXAM0AAAAA:iXF3Uh-54s63rp_lKbokdc_fJ-ObGsR0i4Pf7UOOF4R29Z9qpljoHWKf750oGtdqP31RkAiZcg

[16]. Gomiero T. Soil Degradation, Land Scarcity and Food Security: Reviewing a Complex Challenge. Sustainability 2016, Vol 8, Page 281 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 18 [cited 2025 Sep 29];8(3):281. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/8/3/281/htm

[17]. Taylor Z, Fitzgibbons J, Mitchell CL. Finding the future in policy discourse: an analysis of city resilience plans. Reg Stud [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Sep 29];55(5):831–43. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/scholar_url?url=https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00343404.2020.1760235%3Fcasa_token%3DwEDQsCc3QcwAAAAA:nmutK05VLqSRfn2vsbwlT2l9pN6vkB1yCyHRRB6SzEg_BViTDEmrpuu_wRFA2m8r2YxDMVEWWz5P&hl=en&sa=T&oi=ucasa&ct=ucasa&ei=sTvaaJegFsmk6rQPwcXzuAc&scisig=AAZF9b8jVHmZlOPyJ0POa3i5Zad9

[18]. Pretty J, Bharucha ZP. Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. Ann Bot [Internet]. 2014 Dec 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];114(8):1571–96. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu205

[19]. García-Agüero AI, Terán-Yépez E, Belmonte-Ureña LJ, Camacho-Ferre F. The Role of Stakeholders on the Intention to Implement Sustainable Practices: An Exploratory Research in the Agri-Business Spanish Sector. Environmentally Sustainable Production [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Sep 29];85–106. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-52656-5_5

[20]. Katherasala S, Bheenaveni RS, Chinthakindi P, Deekonda T, Bolledla R, Kaitha S. Balancing Tradition and Innovation: Ecological Impacts of Intensive Farming on Soil Health in Telangana, India. Journal of Environmental & Earth Sciences [Internet]. 2025 Jun 30 [cited 2025 Sep 29];7(7):118–29. Available from: https://journals.bilpubgroup.com/index.php/jees/article/view/9886

[21]. Pathak H. Impact, adaptation, and mitigation of climate change in Indian agriculture. Environ Monit Assess [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];195(1):1–22. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10661-022-10537-3

[22]. Katherasala S. Approaches to Sustainable Agriculture: A Retrospective Analysis for Soil Health Improvement: Sustainable Approaches for Soil Health. SAARC Journal of Agriculture [Internet]. 2024 Jan 23 [cited 2025 Sep 29];22(2):1–13. Available from: https://banglajol.info/index.php/SJA/article/view/71458

[23]. Katherasala S, Bheenaveni RS, Thaduru S, Deekonda T. Farmers, Chemicals and Fertility of Soil: A Quest to Sustainability. Journal of Environmental & Earth Sciences [Internet]. 2025 Feb 21 [cited 2025 Sep 29];7(3):58–76. Available from: https://journals.bilpubgroup.com/index.php/jees/article/view/8079

[24]. Wilk J, Andersson L, Warburton M. Adaptation to climate change and other stressors among commercial and small-scale South African farmers. Reg Environ Change [Internet]. 2013 Apr 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];13(2):273–86. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10113-012-0323-4

[25]. Sabadash V, Denysenko P. Economic and social dimensions of ecological conflicts: Root causes, risks, prevention and mitigation measures. International Journal of Environmental Technology and Management [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2025 Sep 29];21(5–6):273–88. Available from: /doi/pdf/10.1504/IJETM.2018.100579

[26]. Mallareddy M, Thirumalaikumar R, Balasubramanian P, Naseeruddin R, Nithya N, Mariadoss A, et al. Maximizing Water Use Efficiency in Rice Farming: A Comprehensive Review of Innovative Irrigation Management Technologies. Water 2023, Vol 15, Page 1802 [Internet]. 2023 May 9 [cited 2025 Sep 29];15(10):1802. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/15/10/1802/htm

[27]. Young RE, Gann GD, Walder B, Liu J, Cui W, Newton V, et al. International principles and standards for the ecological restoration and recovery of mine sites. Restor Ecol [Internet]. 2022 Nov 1 [cited 2025 Sep 29];30(S2):e13771. Available from: /doi/pdf/10.1111/rec.13771

[28]. Keprate A, Bhardwaj DR, Sharma P, Verma K, Abbas G, Sharma V, et al. Climate Resilient Agroforestry Systems for Sustainable Land Use and Livelihood. World Sustainability Series [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Sep 29];Part F3301:141–61. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-63430-7_7